

ANNALS OF FACULTY ENGINEERING HUNEDOARA - International Journal of Engineering Tome XI (Year 2013) - FASCICULE 3 (ISSN 1584 - 2673)

^{1.} Ilie DUMITRU, ^{2.} Ion BOGDAN, ^{3.} Mihnea CATANEANU, ^{4.} Alexandru OPRICA

USING TYPE IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS TO TEST THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR THE ECONOMIC POLE OF A DIESEL ENGINE

^{1,3,4.} University of Craiova, Faculty of Mechanics, ROMANIA ^{2.} National College "Radu Negru" of Fagaras, ROMANIA

ABSTRACT: Optimizing power using diesel engines in automotive power systems (example: road train assembly or tractor and machine work system) requires determining the engine speed-actual torque function for which specific fuel consumption is minimum at each constant power level which gives the premises for the design and implementation of an information system for the management and control of optimal use of engine power. Experimental research is conducted mainly for collecting data required to develop and verify algorithms resulting from mathematical modeling of indirect determination of torque, optimum curve and hence the economic pole. For this purpose, the engine tested under laboratory conditions has been subjected to experimental measurements and corresponding parameters were measured: actual torque M_e and actual engine speed n_e at different constant values for exhaust temperature θ_{qe} . The solutions' validation requires the use of dedicated methods of which stands type identification method system. Checking the correlation coefficient between real data (measurements taken at the test track drive with the car brake) and theoretical relation established after mathematization. The main purpose of the track measurements was to compare with the results obtained from stand. Kerwords: mathematical model, optimizing power, economic pole, type identification method system

REASONS FOR RESEARCH CONDUCTED

Phenomenas that take place during engine operation in different regimes are complex and mathematical models can be developed, generally only based on experimental results.

The procedures used are called system identification. In line with this type of procedure based on the analysis of experimental data and their processing can lead to theoretical formulations established into mathematical models that are searched.

To optimize performance engines in recent years there has been concerns for research in order to obtain mathematical models for the performance of diesel engine. These concerns are based on the advantages of analytical solutions in terms of testing compression ignition engines. So in the case of mathematical modeling to define an engine performance map, it involves reducing the number of measured points from 250 points (required for experimental lifting of the map) to 20 points (Figure 1).

In the study, the authors present sequences of using this method in research conducted to determine the economic pole.

Economic pole determination is based on the development of complex mathematical models that lead to obtaining the optimal engine operating map.



(Guezennec, 2003)

To achieve an efficient system identification there are used data collected for this purpose from engine braking on stand (on schedule in Figure 2).



Figure 3. The experimental determinations schedule at traction test track

Subsequently, the validation of mathematical models is made using processed data obtained from the test track determinations (according to schedule in Figure 3).

For this purpose, the engine has undergone appropriate experimental measurements using the brake system through the PTO.

Parameters that were measured are: actual torque M_e and actual engine speed n_e at different constant values corresponding to the exhaust temperature t_{ge} .



Figure 4. Model of optimal functioning map for the engine in test

STAGES OF MATHEMATICAL MODELING

Algorithm necessary to obtain the izo-consumption curves

There were performed h experimental determinations in which the specific fuel consumption c_e [g / kWh] was followed for different values of engine speed n_e [rot/min] and effective torque M_e [Nm], the specific fuel consumption being considered a polynomial function of time and speed:

$$c = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{s} a_{ij} \cdot M^{i} \cdot n^{j}$$
⁽¹⁾

To determine the coefficients a_{ii} , it is used the method of the least squares:

Having determined the coefficients, their values are entered in the specific fuel consumption relationship:

$$c = a_{00} + a_{10} \cdot M + a_{01} \cdot n + a_{11} \cdot M \cdot n + a_{20} \cdot M^2 + a_{02} \cdot n^2$$
(2)

And thus obtain the final form of dependencies c_e (M_{ek} , n_{ek}):

One can notice that the dependence c_e (M_{ek} , n_{ek}) is an equation that defines an ellipse. To represent the izo-consumption curves (Figure 5), the same program is used.

Image: Set: Wew Inset: Format: Option: Vindow Heb	Contract Contraction Contraction	
Image:	File Edit View Insert Format Options Window Help	_ 8 ×
P Now ■ Tens Now Reason ■ P and P		
Server (12*4, 101) - 4612+4, 101 - 4003 + 4013 + 4003 + 4013 - 4003 + 2012 - 5017 22*4, 101 - 4517 - 2012	P Normal V Times New Roman V 12 V B Z H = = =	
status	> solve({25*a[00]+3461*a[10]+40128*a[01]+480040*a[20]+71330365*a[02]+5537392*a[11]=6340.3461*a[00]+480040*	a[10]+5537392*a[01]+66702050*a[20]+ 🔺
Substance (con) - extra 2000-06 (10) - 755525 2000-06 (10) - 755527 2000 - 764 (10) - 55572 2000-06 (10) - 75572 2000 - 764 (10) - 55572 2000-06 (10) - 75572 2000 - 764 (10) - 55572 2000-06 (10) - 75572 2000-06 (10) - 55572 2000-07 (10) - 5557	9782760767*a[02]+765623690*a[11]=877188,40128*a[00]+5537392*a[10]+71330365*a[01]+480040*a[20]+1,36709*10	11*a[02]+9782760767*a[11]=10237210
[1] - 1. 67399-10 - 1.144 (01) - 1. 54459-10 - 1224 (20) - 7. 54529-10 - 1244 (11) - 44191 - 1344 (11) - 44191 - 44491	,480040*a[00]+66702050*a[10]+765623690*a[01]+9284112907*a[20]+1.34452*10^12*a[02]+1.06056*10^11*a[11]-12	1593367,71330365*a[00]+9782760767*a
91 - 1. 60054*10*11*a (20) - 1. 6624*10*12*a (20) - 1. 54052*10*12*a (11) - 6411577999), (a(00), a(10), a(10), a(20), a(20), a(20), a(11)); (ag - 45:532; ag - 101265; ag + 157553; ag + 00091112; M - 1, 9000016; ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵) > (c - 45:532; ag - 101266; ag + 157553; ag + 00091112; M - 2, 800017; ag + 1000016; ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵) > (c - 45:532; ag - 101266; ag + 157553; ag + 00091112; M - 2, 800017; ag + 1000016; ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵) > (c - 45:532; ag - 101266; ag + 157553; ag + 00091112; M - 2, 800016; ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵) > with ag intert given (1, 20), 1, -145; 312; a - 000016; ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵) > with ag intert given (1, 20), 1, -145; 312; a - 000017; ag + 0.00016; ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵) > with ag intert given (1, 20), 1, -145; 312; a - 000017; ag + 0.00016; ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵) > with ag intert given (1, 20), 1, -145; 312; a - 000017; ag + 0.00016; ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵) > with ag intert given (1, 20), 1, -145; 312; a - 000017; ag + 0.00016; ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵) > with ag intert given (1, 20), 1, -145; 312; a - 000017; ag + 0.00017; ag + 0.000017; ag + 0.00017; ag + 0.00017; ag + 0.000017; ag + 0	[10]+1.36709*10^11*a[01]+1.34452*10^12*a[20]+2.76362*10^14*a[02]+1.8614*10^13*a[11]=18300229248.5537392*	a[00]+765623690*a[10]+9782760767*a[
[q] = 46/532, q] = 101266, a g] = 1975553, ag] = 000911128, ag] = 0000016, ag = 179 10 ⁻⁵] > = -46/5 328 - 4.000179 a ² - 4.000911128 d ² - 1.02603 + -1.975533 M + 0.00016 d g > = -46/5 328 - 4.000179 a ² - 4.00011121 d ² - 1.02603 + -1.975533 M + 0.00016 d g • = 46/5 328 - 4.000179 a ² - 4.00011121 d ² - 1.02603 + -1.975533 M + 0.00016 d g • = 46/5 328 - 4.000179 a ² - 4.00011121 d ² - 1.02603 + -1.975533 M + 0.00016 d g • = 46/5 328 - 4.000179 a ² - 4.00011121 d ² - 1.02603 + -1.975533 M + 0.000178 a ² - 4.000178 a	01]+1.06056*10^11*a[20]+1.8614*10^13*a[02]+1.34452*10^12*a[11]=1411573098),(a[00],a[10],a[01],a[20],a[02]],a[11]));
(q = 405.532, q = -1012403, q = 1 972553, q = 0.0917112, q = -0.00016, q = 177 10 ⁻⁵) > c = -14.5.332 + 0.000174m, 2 = 0.00017112, q = -0.00017112, q = -0.00016, q = 177 10 ⁻⁵) > c = -45.532 + 0.000174m, 2 = 0.00017112, q = -0.000071, q = -0.0001714, q = -0.000174m, 2 = -0.000174m,		
(q) = 46.532, q) =012603, q) = 1973533, q) = 00917112, q1 = 000016, q2 = 179.10 ⁻⁵) > =145, 532+4, 000174**, 2+0, 009271128***-4, 512564**-1, 5725551***, 000174***, 2+0, 000174****, 0+000174***, 2+0, 000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174***, 2+0, 000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174*****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174****, 0+000174*****, 0+000174*****, 0+000174*****, 0+000174*****, 0+000174*****, 0+000174*****, 0+000174*****, 0+000174		
	(a = 426 5282 a = 1012662 a = 1.0285852 a = 0050121128 a = 00004016 a = 1.20 10 ^{-\$} 1	
 		
	2 C:=438.3362*0.0000175*R.2*0.003511120*R.2*0.1012665*R=1.5(63635*R=0.0004016*R=R)	
Handbalder : Handbalder	$c := 436.5382 \pm .0000179 n^4 \pm .0059171128 M^4 \pm .1012663 n \pm 1.9785853 M \pm .0004016 M n$	
	implicitylot ({245.1-436.5382*0.0000179*n^2*0.0059171128*K^2=0.1012663*n=1.9785853*K*0.000401	6*H*n,245.5-436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+
24:4-46.0322-0.000179m 2*-0.000179m 2*-0.0001710m 2*-0.000179m 2*-0.0001710m 2*-0.0001710m 2*-0.000179m 2*-0.0001710m 2*-0.00001710m 2*-0.00001710m 2*-0.00001710m 2*-0.00001710m 2*-0.00001710	0.0059171128*M^2-0.1012663*n-1.9785853*M+0.0004016*M*n,245.8=436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*M^2-0.1	.012663*n-1.9785853*M+0.0004016*N*n,
- 3793574/10- 00001749/m, 244-456. 3322 4. 00001749/2-4. 00001749/2-4. 03122447-4. 137051514/4. 0.0001244/10, 224-456 57112247/- 4. 01025474-1. 75755574/-0. 00001749/2-4. 03257112247/2-4. 03122447-4. 03125447-4. 030011749/2-4. 030517112447/2-4. 030517112447/2-4. 03051711247/2-4. 03077111247/2-4. 03077111247/2-4. 03077111247/2-4. 03077111247/2	246=436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*M^2-0.1012663*n-1.9785853*M+0.0004016*M*n,247=436.5382+0.0000179	*n^2+0.0059171128*N^2-0.1012663*n-1
ST112241*2-0.102263*n-1.57355371124***2-0.1025171224***2-0.00017*n*2-0.0007*n*2-0.0007*n*2-0.00071*n*2-0.00017*n*2-0.0000*0*n*2-0.000*n*0*0*n*0*0*n*0*0*n*0*0*n*0*0*n*	.9785853*M+0.0004016*M*n,248=436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*M^2=0.1012663*n=1.9785853*M+0.0004016*M	*n,250-436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.005
- 512-4. 00017/9/m 2-4. 005171128/11 2-4. 112/6519/- 0.000164/4/9/m 2-5-18. 538-4. 000179/m 2-4. 005171128/11 2-4. 112/6519/- 1.57855 MH-4. 000017/9/m 2-4. 000017/9/m 2-4. 005171128/11 2-4. 112/6519/- 1.57855 MH-4. 000017/9/m 2-4. 000000000000000000000000000000000000	9171128*M^2-0.1012663*n-1.9785853*M+0.0004016*M*n,255-436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*M^2-0.1012663*	n-1.9785853*M+0.0004016*M*n,260-436
State 000012410a, 270-35.532-0.00001794a, 2-0.0005171124412-0.1012653941.000001794a, 275-35.532-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.000001794a, 2-0.0055171124412-0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*M^2-0.1012663*n-1.9785853*M+0.0004016*M*n,265=436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.	0059171128*M^2-0.1012663*n-1.978585
	3*M+0.0004016*M*n,270=436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*M^2=0.1012663*n-1.9785853*M+0.0004016*M*n,275=	436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128
Construction	*X^2-0.1012663*n-1.9785853*X+0.0004016*X*n,280=436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*X^2-0.1012663*n-1.978	5853*N+0.0004016*N*n,285-436.5382+0
Statut Statut<	.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*M^2-0.1012663*n-1.9785853*M+0.0004016*M*n,290-436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171	128*M^2-0.1012663*n-1.9785853*M+0.0
191256374-1.972553598-0.000001698743) a=12002700 JH=0159) ;	004016*N*n,250-436.5382+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*N^2-0.1012663*n-1.9785853*N+0.0004016*N*n,295-436.538	2+0.0000179*n^2+0.0059171128*M^2-0.
	1012663*n-1.9785853*H+0.0004016*N*n),n=12002700,M=0150);	
A Contraction of the contraction		
State Contractors		
Sector 1 and 1 an		
# #		
Image: 1 1		
genute genute <thgenut< th=""> <thgenut< th=""> genut<th>20</th><th></th></thgenut<></thgenut<>	20	
Image: International Control (1997) Image: I	0 ¹ 1200 1400 1400 1200 2400 2400 2400 2400	•
		Time: 0.0s Bytes: 0K Free: 394948K
GIGIOLMUDOCTORAT 61 Bowie Dowid w/Tips T., A Mante & Release 4 - 10 Minode/OW/G001.dor - M., 25% 5 W 3	(#Start) 🔄 🍠 🖄 🦓 🔚 🧉 🌡 🕎 💋 🔇 🔇 🌾 🖏 🤌 🐭 🦄 🏷 🖓 📣 🖉	🍕 💷 💯 🚳 🔣 13:55
	🔄 G:\DUMI\DOCTORAT 🔗 1. Bowie, David w/Tina T 🚯 Maple V Release 4 - [0 👹 model-OWC[a00].doc - M	25°; 🗲 🕪 🥹

Figure 5. The topograma of fuel specific consumption fields for engine D 115

□ Mathematical modeling for determining the curve of optimal operation of the studied engine

To determine the optimal operating curve of an engine, it is considered that this is the locus of optimal points. These points are defined from the condition that the slopes curves M = f(n) at constant power ($P_e = const$) and specific consumption constant ($c_e = const$) are equal, using the theorem of "equal slope".

$$\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial n}\right)_{P=ct} = \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial n}\right)_{c=ct}$$
(3)

Using the mathematical and grouping terms it is obtained the economic operation optimum of the engine during operation via the "optimal engine operation curve" defined by the following function:

$$2a_{20} \cdot M^2 + a_{10} \cdot M - 2a_{02} \cdot n^2 - a_{01} \cdot n = 0$$
⁽⁴⁾





The graphical determination of the torque evolution of the speed characteristic at full load Using the software MAPLE on the polynomial function found experimentally it is obtained the following graphical representation for a sequence (Figure 7) with(plots):





Figure 7. Graphical representation in MAPLE of the torque variation for the studied engine CORRELATIONS STUDY ON IDENTIFYING SYSTEM TYPE THEORY

The correlation coefficient (R) is an indicator that measures the intensity of the relationship between two variables x and y. In practice it is used the formula:

$$R = \frac{n \cdot \sum x_i \cdot y_i - \sum x_i \cdot \sum y_i}{\sqrt{\left[n \cdot \sum x_i^2 - \left(\sum x_i\right)^2\right] \cdot \left[n \cdot \sum y_i^2 - \left(\sum y_i\right)^2\right]}}$$
(5)

where: n is the number of measurement points, and x_i and y_i are the values of pairs of points.

Closer values to 1 means a stronger correlation between variables x and y. If R = 0 there are independent or uncorrelated variables, and for R = 1 it results functional dependence between the two variables

Not to work with radicals, usually it is calculated the square of the correlation coefficient noted with R^2 .

In practice it is considered that if:

R² < 0,2 there is not a significant relationship; 0< **R**² < 0.2< 0,5 there is a weak connection; **R**² < 0.5< 0.75 there is a medium intensity connection; **R**² < 0,75< 0,95 there is a strong link 1,00 0.95< $R^2 <$ can say that the relationship is relatively deterministic

□ Correlation for the algorithm of effective torque - exhaust gas temperature - engine speed

In order to assess mathematical modeling, the authors used the Statistical module in Microsoft Excel.

The first step to determine theoretical torque depending on the exhaust gas temperature and speed is creating a MAPLE program with the following structure:

```
 \begin{array}{ll} n_e: array(1...k_i[n_1..n_k]; \\ t_{ge}: array(1...k_i[t_{ge\ 1}..nt_{ge\ k}]; \\ for \ i \ from \ 1 \ to \ i \ do \ ;Mteor[i]:= \ -58.80756705 \ + \ .2917930092^*tge[i] \ - \ .5774045918e-5^*tge[i] \ ^2 \ + \ (.2531481953 \ - \ .3583852919e-3^*tge[i] \ + \ .6951621429e-8^*tge[i] \ ^2)^*n[i] \ + \ (-.1087378640e-3 \ + \ .1565572144e-6^*tge[i] \ - \ .1936656122e-11^*tge[i] \ ^2)^*n[i]^2, print(Mteor[i]); \ od;; \\ \end{array}
```

With data thus obtained and using the software presented, it is determined the correlation coefficient (Figure 8). Its value is 0.968671165 thus resulting a relatively deterministic relationship



Figure 8. Calculating the correlation coefficient for actual torque Correlation for the theoretical function established for specific fuel consumption

Going through the same steps it is obtained (Figure 9.) a value of 0.934975777. Experimental data were taken from the test for intermediate speed range (0.9) taking into account the speed range between maximum torque speed and rated speed.



Phenomena that take place during engine operation in different regimes are complex and mathematical models can be developed, generally only based on experimental results.

The procedures used so are called system identification. In line with this type of procedure based on the analysis of experimental data and their processing can lead to theoretical formulations established into mathematical models that are searched.

To optimize performance engines in recent years there has been made research to develop mathematical models of diesel engine performance. These concerns are based on the advantages of analytical solutions in terms of testing compression-ignition engines. So in the case of mathematical modeling to define an engine performance map, it involves reducing the number of measured points from 250 points (required for experimental lifting of the map) to 20 points.

To achieve efficient identification system there data collected for this purpose from engine braking stand are used.

Subsequently, the validation of mathematical models is made by processing data obtained from determinations on the test track.

To obtain optimum engine operation it is required the development of:

Algorithm necessary to obtain izo-consumption curves

Mathematical modeling to determine optimal operation curve for the studied engine

Evolution of the torque and engine speed characteristic at full load

Validation of one mathematical model requires the use of the indicator R^2 (multiple correlation coefficient).

REFERENCES

- [1.] Apostolescu, N., Taraza, D., Bazele cercetării experimentale a maşinilor termice, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1979;
- [2.] Bobescu, Gh. și col., Motoare pentru automobile și tractoare, Editura Tehnică, Chișinău, 1996;
- [3.] Dumitru I, Aspects concerning an improved functioning of diesel engines based on a three variables equation: performance map software on board information system, OPTIROB 2010, 28-30 may 2010, Politehnica University of Bucharest;
- [4.] Dumitru, I., Motoare pentru automobile şi tractoare. Metode moderne de optimizare a parametrilor energetici ai motoarelor Diesel cu injecție directă, Editura Universitaria, ISBN: 973-8043-85-9, Craiova, 192pg, 2008;
- [5.] Edwards, S. P., Grove, D. M., and Wynn, H. P., Statistics for Engine Optimization, 1st edition, John Wiley & Sons Canada, December 2000.
- [6.] Grunwald, B., Teoria, construcția și calculul motoarelor pentru autovehicule rutiere, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1982
- [7.] Guezennec, Yang G., Internal Combustion Engine Fundamentals, Technical Presentation, 2003;
- [8.] Jankovic, M. and Magner, S., "Fuel Economy Optimization in Automotive Engines", Proceedings of the 2006 American Control Conference, Minneapolis, MN, USA, 2006.
- [9.] Kipp, Johan-Carsten, Optimierung, des Leistungsumsatzes von Traktoren durch den Einsatz electronischer Hilfsmittel, Fortschr.-Ber. VDI Reihe 14, Nr. 35, Düsseldorf, VDI-Verlag, 1987;
- [10.] Meyer, Jason, Engine Modeling of an Internal Combustion Engine with Twin Independent Cam Phasing, Thesis, The State University Ohio, 2007;



ANNALS of Faculty Engineering Hunedoara



- International Journal of Engineering

copyright © UNIVERSITY POLITEHNICA TIMISOARA, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING HUNEDOARA, 5, REVOLUTIEI, 331128, HUNEDOARA, ROMANIA <u>http://annals.fih.upt.ro</u>