

ISSN: 1584-2665 [print; online] ISSN: 1584-2673 [CD-Rom; online] a free-access multidisciplinary publication of the Faculty of Engineering Hunedoara



^{1.} Dragica KOLDZIN, ^{2.} Vera MEDIC, ^{3.} Zoran ANISIC

SPECIFIC POLICY MEASURES FOR IMPROVEMENT OF INNOVATION ENVIRONMENT IN AP VOJVODINA

¹Faculty of Sport and Tourism, Novi Sad, SERBIA ²University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Novi Sad, SERBIA

Abstract: Science policy is identified as the one which has the most influence on open innovation. Research and development is one of the key behavioral aspects in Open Innovation. In the world of Open Innovation, public policy has to be complied with the behavior of innovative entities and with external conditions which motivate them to practice it. The subject of this paper is analysis of the specific policy measures in AP Vojvodina (APV) that could contribute to increese degree of international coopereation of the institutions from the Province since the cooperation is at the essence of Open innovation. By means of collaboratio and cooperation, innovation actors contribute to synergy and knowledge creation both at national and international levels. The Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development (PSSTD) supports a wide range of activities that lead to the progress of innovation environment in APV.

Keywords: science policy, policy measures, international cooperation, Open Innovation

THE IMPACT OF SCENCE POLICY ON OPEN INNOVATION

If innovation is accepted as the open process in which companies systematically look for inflows and outflows of knowledge that certainly has implications on creating and implementing all policies, by which innovations are supported. In this context science policy is identified as the one which has the most influence on Open Innovation.

In the world of Open Innovation, public policy has to be complied with the behavior of innovative enterprises and with external conditions which motivate enterprises to practice them. One of the key behavioral aspects of enterprises in Open Innovation, that have been identified, is collaboration [1].

Cooperation and collaboration are essential to open innovation. Thus entities in innovation process put in sufficient different resources needed to achieve a common goal. Collaboration is then more of an effectiveness measure, meaning "doing the right things" to achieve something with a higher value than one party could do alone. The mutual dependency is stronger. By means of collaboration, innovation actors contributed to synergy and knowledge creation at both national and international levels [2].

Fields of science and innovation are essential for the open innovation and also for the country's development. From the very limited research on open innovation at the state and regional level, it could be seen that current government policies in many countries already contain many elements to support it [3].

SPECIFIC POLICY MEASURES FOR IMPROVEMENT OF INNOVATION ENVIRONMENT IN AUTONOMUS PROVINCE OF VOJVODINA (APV)

The jurisdiction of the AP Vojvodina in the field of science and technological development is very limited. Nevertheless, the Provincial Government pays a great attention to the progress in that area. Despite the fact that the Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development PSSTD has no legislative power it undertakes many activities with the aim of the progress of science and innovativeness within the province. Activities of the PSSTD in 2014, as in the past ten years, were focused on the further encouragement of the development of science and technology in the AP Vojvodina and their approximation to the European standards [4].

The subject of this paper is analysis of the specific regional policy measures for the development of science and innovation in the Autonomous Province of Vojvodina (APV). These are the instruments that





stimulate cooperation and networking of scientific/research institutions from the province with international partners in order to create better innovation environment. The Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development (PSSTD) supports a wide range of activities that lead to the progress of science and innovativeness within APV. Support of international cooperation is among its priorities. With the aim of achieving the most successful incorporation of the scientific institutions from APV into European research area, PSSTD has developed unique instruments such as support schemes for the application to international projects as well as for the support of already accepted on going internationally funded projects. These forms of support do not exist at the republic level and represent an additional incentive for the development of this area in APV.

The international aspect permeates almost all activities of the PSSTD. In 2005 began the co-funding of so called interregional projects with neighbouring countries and institutions in these countries. The requirement for these projects was to establish joint teams of foreign and domestic partners and to formalize their cooperation. The most direct support to the international and inter-regional cooperation takes place through co-financing current activities of international cooperation institutions from Vojvodina. This support began in 2005, when 45 activities were co-financed, and in 2014 it rise to even 194 activities. The approved projects included more than 45 mainly European countries, and some projects are done in cooperation with more than ten countries. The projects are working in cooperation with 951 institutions abroad. The same year begins the co-financing of the on-going activities of international cooperation realized by the scientific-research institutions from AP Vojvodina. With the aim of bolstering a more effective participation of research institutions from AP Vojvodina in the European research programs, Secretariat started in 2007 to support financially the proposals of the international projects complying with the EU programs. The priority was given to the applications for the FP7 and SEE-ERA.NET programs. The support has been dedicated for the technical preparation and contacts with potential partners for realization of the applications for international projects. The support was given exclusively to the project proposals that were submitted or prepared in the cooperation with at least one of the EU member states.

These forms of support do not exist at the republic level and represent an additional incentive for the development of science, technology and innovativeness in APV[4].

By the scheme for co-financing of on-going activities of international cooperation the Secretariat co-finance those international cooperation activities that are supported through international funds as well as bilateral and multilateral project activities that are implemented on the basis of inter-state and inter-institutional protocols of cooperation and whose realization is in progress at the time of filing the application.

Secretariat announces a public call. The amount of funds for co-financing in the current year shall be determined on the basis of the total available funds in the budget of the Secretariat for this purpose, the number of accepted activities and the established character of approved activities. Funds are approved for the following purposes: travel costs, costs of stay and education expenses and the cost of the presentation of the results achieved by the participants and contributors to the activities of international cooperation. Monitoring of the implementation of international cooperation activities are carried out on the basis of reports, which are regulated by a contract between the Secretariat and the institutions that realizes the activity of international cooperation.

From the above data (Table 1) it can be seen that the majority of co-financed projects were from the COST program (236), followed by TEMPUS (157), CEEPUS and bilateral activities (156 each), multilateral activities (134), FP7 (104) and IPA (75). Other types of activities are represented in significantly less number.

As for the institutions involved, significantly largest share of the participation of the scientific-research institutions from the AP Vojvodina in international projects is of the Faculty of Technical Sciences (439), followed by the Faculty of Natural Science (215), Faculty of Agriculture (109) and Faculty of Technology (91). University of Novi Sad is the holder or participant in 80 activities and it could be seen that his participation over the years is steadily increasing. It is also important the fact that independent research institutes from AP Vojvodina have well-developed international cooperation and to participate in a number of projects. Scientific Institute of Food technologies is leading with 65 co-financed activities, and it is followed by the Institute for Lowland Forestry and Environment with 41 and the Scientific Veterinary Institute with 32 co-financed activities.

As regards the scheme for co/ financing the preparation of the project proposals for international cooperation Secretariat co-finance those proposals for international cooperation activities which are submitted to international institutions and funds, as well as those that are submitted on open calls for





bilateral and multilateral cooperation. Priority will be given up for the program Horizon 2020. Application may submit scientific-research organizations from AP Vojvodina, and exceptionally other institutions dealing with scientific research and by a special decision. For this purposes Secretariat announces a public call.

Table 1. Review of sup	pport to th	ie on-goin	gactivit	les of inte	ernation	iai coop	beration	from 2005	10 2014 (D	y ule type (of coopera	tion). [5]
Project type/year	2005	2006	200	7 200	08 2	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
FP 5	1	1	1	1								4
FP6	4	10	10	7		3	1					35
FP7				7		11	16	14	19	22	15	104
INTERREG	1	2	6	2								11
TEMPUS	16	13	15	9		7	12	17	26	19	23	157
COST	2	6	10	10)	15	20	26	34	50	63	236
IPA							4	17	22	23	9	75
EUREKA	2	2	2	4		5	6	9	5	4	1	40
CEEPUS	6	8	8	13	3	17	17	19	18	23	27	156
WUS	3	2	2			1	1					9
DAAD	1	1	-	1		2	2	3	1	2	2	15
Stability Pact	1	1	1	1		1	1	1				7
CIP									1	1	1	3
IAEA									1	2	1	4
ERASMUS									1	2	3	6
SCOPES							2	2	2	1	5	12
SEE.ERA-NET			1				1	2				4
CBC						1						1
SEE TC Programme		- And a start of the start of t				2	2	4	4	3	1	16
Multilateral activities	8	8	- 8	9	/	10	11	16	20	19	25	134
Bilateral activities	-	15	19	1	7	14	22	15	19	18	17	156
NATO Programme 🦯			5.1			-	19-10	1	1	1	1	4
US EPA	1	1			14			1	_1	1		3
TOTAL:	45	69	83	8	1	89	118	147	176	191	194	1193
	6	-		_	5	~	_	_				0
1 /) 00	00	00			00	00	000	00	õ	55(75
Approved funds:	0.0	ö	0.0	4		9.6	8.(4.(7.0	.0 0.0	5.5	95.
Approved funds.	85		70	30		09	5	01	10	04	83	0.0
	10.	2	14.	20		24.	24.	5	3	48.	49.	80
												N
									00110			
Table 2. Review of su	ipport to	the prope	osals of	interna	tional c	oopera	ation fro	m 2007 t	o 2014 (by	the type	of coopera	ation) [5]
Table 2. Review of su Project type/ye	ipport to ear	the propo 20	osals of 07	internat 2008	tional c 2009	oopera	ation fro 2010	0m 2007 to 2011	2014 (by 2012	the type 2013	of coopera 2014	ation) [5] Total
Table 2. Review of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020	ipport to ear)	the propo 20	osals of 07	finternat 2008	tional c 2009	oopera	ation fro 2010	0m 2007 to 2011	2014 (by 2012	the type	of coopera 2014 18	ation) [5] Total 18
Table 2. Review of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7	ar)	the propo 20	osals of 07 9	finternat 2008 13	tional c 2009 17	oopera	ation fro 2010 14	0m 2007 to 2011 13	2014 (by 2012 13	7 the type 2013 7	of coopera 2014 18 1	ation) [5] Total 18 117
Table 2. Review of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS	ar)	the propo 20 3	osals of 07 9	finternat 2008 13	tional c 2009 17 1	oopera	ation fro 2010 14 1	0m 2007 to 2011 13 1 7	2014 (by 2012 13	7 the type 0 2013 7	of coopera 2014 18 1	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3
Table 2. Review of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS	ipport to ear	the propo	osals of 07 9	finternat 2008 13	tional c 2009 17 1 1	oopera	ation fro 2010 14 1 7	0m 2007 to 2011 13 1 7	2014 (by 2012 13 6	7 the type 2013 7 12	of coopera 2014 18 1	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 24
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET	ipport to ear	the propo 20 3	osals of 07 9	finternat 2008 13	tional c 2009 17 1 1	oopera	ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4	0m 2007 to 2011 13 1 7	2014 (by 2012 13 6	7 12	of coopera 2014 18 1 2	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1
Table 2. Review of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD	ipport to ear) rogram	the propo 20 3 2 2 2 2	07 07 9 89 8 8 1	finternat 2008 13	tional c 2009 17 1 1	oopera	ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4	0m 2007 to 2011 13 1 7	2014 (by 2012 13 6	2013 7 12 2	of coopera 2014 18 1 2	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood PI DAAD COST	ipport to ear) Crogram	the propo	07 9 8 8 1	internal 2008 13	tional c 2009 17 1 1	oopera	ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4	m 2007 t 2011 13 1 7 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3	2013 7 12 2 11	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUIS	ipport to ear) Trogram	the propo	07 07 9 8 1	13 1 1 1	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1	oopera) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	14 14 1 7 4 1 	13 1 13 1 7	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3	2013 7 12 2 11	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 2	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27 2
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog.	ipport to ear) Trogram	the propo	07 07 9 8 8 1	Finternal 2008 13 1 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1		14 14 1 7 4 1 1 1	13 13 1 7	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6	2013 7 12 2 11	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 2 10	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 33 34 1 5 27 2 13
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO	ipport to ar) Trogram	the propo	007 07 99 88 1 1	13 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 1 1 1 1 2	0007 to 2011 13 1 7 1	2014 (b) 2012 13 6 1 3 6	2013 7 12 2 11	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA	ipport to ear) Togram	the propo	007 07 99 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	internal 2008 13 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 1 1 1 1 2 5	2007 to 2011 13 1 7 1 1 1 10	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 1 2	2013 7 12 2 11 6	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coo	pport to ear) rogram		007 07 99 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	internal 2008 13 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 1 1 1 2 5	2007 ti 2011 13 1 7 1 	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 1 2 12	2013 7 12 2 11 6	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 334 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5
Table 2. Review of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE ERA-NET EU Neighborhood PI DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop Bilateral coop	pport to ar) rogram		553ls of 07 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	internal 2008 13 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 1 1 1 1 2 5 5 3	2007 ti 2011 13 1 7 1 	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 1 2 12 13	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 53
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pi DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coo Bilateral coop SCOPES	pport to ar) rogram		88 1 1 1 1 1	internal 2008 13 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 1 1 1 2 5 3	2007 to 2011 13 1 7 1 	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 2 10 5 8 3	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 13 2 33 5 53 5 5
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pi DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop Bilateral coop SCOPES US EPA	pport to ar) rogram		05 07 07 07 09 0 88 0 1 0 0 0	internal 2008 13 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 1 1 2 5 3	2007 to 2011 13 1 7 1 1 10 16 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13 13 1	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 13 2 33 5 53 5 2
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund	ipport to ar) rogram		29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	internal 2008 13 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 1 1 2 5 3	13 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13 13 1 1	the type 2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 2 3 5 5 2 3 3 5 5 2 3 3 5 5 5 2 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pi DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop Bilateral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS	ipport to ar) rogram		29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	internal 2008 13 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 1 1 2 5 3	2007 to 2011 13 1 7 1 1 7 1 1 10 16 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13 13 1 1	the type 2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 2 10 5 8 3	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 5 2 3 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA. TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS	ipport to ar) rogram		2:9 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07	internal 2008 13 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 1 1 2 5 3	2007 to 2011 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 10 16 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 1 3 6 1 2 13 1 1 1	2013 7 12 2 11 6 6 13 2 1 1 1 1 1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 53 5 2 3 1 1 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA. TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog.	ipport to ar) rogram pp.).		053als of 07 1	internal 2008 13 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 	2007 to 2011 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 10 16 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 1 3 6 1 2 13 1 1 1	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 1 1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 5 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI	ar program pp. p. b.		053als of 07 19 1 <td< td=""><td>internal 2008 13 1 1 6</td><td>tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td></td><td>ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 1 2 5 5 3</td><td>2007 ti 2011 13 1 7 1 </td><td>2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 1 2 13 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 3</td><td>ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 53 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1</td></td<>	internal 2008 13 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 1 2 5 5 3	2007 ti 2011 13 1 7 1 	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 1 2 13 1 1 1 1 1	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 1 1 1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 3	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 53 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA SEE ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop Bilateral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Re	pport to ar) rogram pp.),			1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 1 2 5 5 3	13 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 1 1 3 6 1 1 1 1 1 1	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 1 1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 2 10 5 8 3 3	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 27 2 13 2 33 5 53 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pi DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop Bilateral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Region Stra Feloww prog for rescore	ar program p. b. us egion ategies rob in larce		8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Internal 2008 13 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010	13 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 1 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1	the type 2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 13 5 53 5 2 33 5 5 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pi DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Region Stra Feloww prog. for resear Visegrad func	ar ar rogram p. b. us egion ategies rch in Japa	n	05 07 07 1 1 1	Internal 2008 13 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010	13 13 1 7 1 10 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1	the type 2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 5 5 2 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA COST EUNeighborhood PI DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop Bilateral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Region Stra Feloww prog. for resear Visegrad func	ar b rogram pp. b. us egion ategies ch in Japa h	the propo 20 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	053als of 07 1 8 1	Internal 2008 13 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010	13 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1	the type 2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Region Stra Feloww prog. for resear Visegrad func Creative Europ	ar b rogram pp. b. us egion ategies ch in Japa l be		053als of 007 199 1 <	7 internal 2008 13 1 1 6	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010	2007 to 2011 13 1 7 1 1 1 10 16 1 1 1 50	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1 1 1 56	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 56	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 2 10 5 8 3 3 	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 67
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Region Stra Feloww prog. for resear Visegrad func Creative Europ	ar ar b rogram op. op. op. op. op. op. op. op.	the propo 20 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	basals of 07 19 1 <td< td=""><td>Internal 2008 13 1 1 6 21</td><td>tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td></td><td>ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 </td><td>2007 ti 2011 13 1 7 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 50</td><td>2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1 1 1 5 6</td><td>the type 2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 56</td><td>2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 5 5 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3</td><td>ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td></td<>	Internal 2008 13 1 1 6 21	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 	2007 ti 2011 13 1 7 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 50	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1 1 1 5 6	the type 2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 56	2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 5 5 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 33 33 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Region Stra Feloww prog. for resear Visegrad func Creative Europ	ar program pp. p. b. us egion ategies ch in Japa d be	the propo 20 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	05 07 07 07 19 0 10<	internal 2008 13 1 1 6 200 21 8	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 7 4 1 1 2 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 9 0 8	13 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 1 3 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	the type 2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 56 8	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 3 	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 2 3 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 3 5 5 2 3 5 5 2 3 5 5 2 3 5 5 2 3 5 5 2 3 5 5 2 2 3 5 5 5 2 5 5 2 2 3 5 5 2 5 5 2 2 3 5 5 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pr DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Region Stra Feloww prog. for resear Visegrad fund Creative Europ Total:	ar program pp. p. b. us egion ategies ch in Japa l be	the propo 20 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		2100 2100 2100 2100 2100 2100 221	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 1 2 5 3 3 3 3 3 9 00 2 2 1	13 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 1 3 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 6 00000000000	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 1 1 1 56 00 00	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 13 5 5 3 5 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pi DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Re Danube Region Stra Feloww prog. for resear Visegrad fund Creative Europ Total:	ar brogram	the propo 20 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	05 07 07 07 09 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 <td< td=""><td>internal 2008 13 1 1 6 21 00 21 00 21</td><td>tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td></td><td>ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 7 4 4 1 1 2 5 5 3 3 3 3 3 9 0025282</td><td>13 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1 1 1 5 6 00000094</td><td>2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 11 6 56 000:00:1</td><td>of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 5 8 3 </td><td>ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 13 5 5 2 33 5 5 2 33 5 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 2 7 2 1 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td></td<>	internal 2008 13 1 1 6 21 00 21 00 21	tional c 2009 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 7 4 4 1 1 2 5 5 3 3 3 3 3 9 0025282	13 13 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1 1 1 5 6 00000094	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 11 6 56 000:00:1	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 5 8 3 	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 13 5 5 2 33 5 5 2 33 5 5 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 2 7 2 1 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Table 2. Réview of su Project type/ye Horizon 2020 FP7 EUREKA TEMPUS SEE.ERA-NET EU Neighborhood Pi DAAD COST WUS SEE Prog. NATO IPA Multilaeral coop SCOPES US EPA Erasmus Mund CEEPUS ERA-CAPS BMBF Prog. CEI START Danube Region Stra Feloww prog. for resear Visegrad func Creative Europ Total:	ar program p. p. p. o. us egion ategies rch in Japa l be	the propo 20 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0531s of 07 1 8 1 </td <td>²internal 2008 13 13 6 21 21 00 21 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td> <td>tional c 2009 177 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td> <td></td> <td>ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 7 4 4 1 1 1 2 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 0 0 2 2 2 5 0 0 0 2 2 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td> <td>13 13 1 7 1 10 16 1 10 16 50 0 50 0 52 696 52 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td> <td>2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1 1 1 56 0000099 8 56</td> <td>2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 11 6 56 0000002647</td> <td>of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 5 8 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td> <td>ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 6 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 2 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td>	² internal 2008 13 13 6 21 21 00 21 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	tional c 2009 177 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ation fro 2010 14 1 7 4 4 1 7 4 4 1 1 1 2 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 0 0 2 2 2 5 0 0 0 2 2 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13 13 1 7 1 10 16 1 10 16 50 0 50 0 52 696 52 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2014 (by 2012 13 6 1 3 6 12 13 1 1 1 1 1 56 0000099 8 56	2013 7 12 2 11 6 13 2 11 6 56 0000002647	of coopera 2014 18 1 2 10 5 8 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 5 8 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ation) [5] Total 18 117 3 333 34 1 5 27 2 13 2 33 5 5 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 6 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 2 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 2 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5

Most scientific-research institutions has submitted proposals for FP7 program (117), followed by SEE.ERA-NET (34), bilateral cooperation (53) and IPA (33). It is also important the fact that 18 applications for the program Horizon 2020 were submitted in 2014. That shows that from the very beginning of this programme the institutions from AP Vojvodina are very active to participate.

As for institutions, the situation is similar as with on-going activities, the largest number of applications comes from the Faculty of Technical Sciences (104), followed by followed by the Faculty of Natural Science (89), Faculty of Technology (66) and Faculty of Agriculture (52). Concerning the applications





from scientific-research institutes, the most active was the Institute for Lowland Forestry and Environment with 9 and the Scientific Institute of Food technologies with 8 applications.

JOINT ACTIVITIES AS MEASURE OF COOPERATION

If we look at the specific instrument for co-financing of on-going activities of international cooperation that stimulate cooperation and networking of scientific/research institutions from AP Vojvodina with international partners we could see that it supports collaboration and contribute to the synergy and knowledge creation at both national and international levels and also created a more favorable environment for innovative activity and open flow of knowledge.

Monitoring oh these activities is done through reports that institutions are obliged to deliver to Secretariat annually. Questions in the reports relating to the elements of cooperation are the following: weather is submitted new joint project; weather is in the framework of the project published joint publication with foreign partners and weather is in the framework of the project presented joint paper with foreign partners in the international conferences. If we consider the data from the last three year reports we could see the following results.

If publications serve as unit of analysis, co-authorship is taken as a measurement of collaboration. It entails the tacit transfer of information and knowledge and ensures diffusion of ideas and knowledge circulation. The importance of co-authorship in knowledge creation and sharing may be measured by the international co-authorship trend. Globally, co-authorship has exploded recently and internationalization of collaboration characterizes science today due mainly to globalization. Therefore, by means of collaboration, innovation Table 3. Review of joint activities that promote cooperation through the

actors contributed to synergy and knowledge creation at both national and international levels. [2].

From the results shown in Table 3 it can be seen that from the co-financed of projects during last three years 16 % more new international projects were derived. In favor of the fact that these instruments are in the function of enhance the degree

support to the on-going activities of international cooperation in AP Voivodina (Source: data base of the PSSTD)

Year of the report	No. of co- financed projects	No. of new joint projects	No. of joint publications	No. of joint papers presented on conferences
2012	147	198	249	324
2013	179	195	255	327
2014	191	205	256	391
Total:	517	598	760	1042

of cooperation between partners. This is also confirmed by the fact that in this period was published 760 joint papers and 1042 joint pepers are presented at international conferences.

CONCLUSION

In the word of Open innovation public policy has to be complied with the external conditions that motivate subjects to cooperate. Open innovation phenomena evolve a high degree of cooperation with partners [5]. Since cooperation and collaboration are in the essence of open innovation process it is necessary to facilitate it by the specific policy instruments.

The Autonomous Province of Vojvodina (APV) developed specific measures that stimulate cooperation and networking of scientific-research institutions from the Province with international partners in order to create better innovation environment. These forms of support represent an additional regional incentive for the development of science, technology and innovativeness in APV. This also contributes to the establishment of a favorable environment for open innovation in the region.

Note: This paper is based on the paper presented at The 7th International Conference on Mass Customization and Personalization in Central Europe – MCP–CE 2016 – Mass Customization and Open Innovation, organized in Novi Sad, SERBIA, September 21-23, 2016

References

- [1.] De Jong, J.P.J.; W. Vanhaverbeke, T. Kalvet & H. Chesborough: Policies for open innovation: Theory, Framework and Cases, Research project funded by VISION Era-Net, Helsinki: Finland, 2008. http://www.praxis.ee/fileadmin/tarmo/Projektid/Innovatsiooni_poliitika/Avatud_innovatsioonipoliitika _hindamise_raamistik/OIPAF_final_report.pdf
- [2.] E. Megnigbeto, "Effect of international collaboration on knowledge flow within an innovation system: a Triple Helix approach," Triple Helix A Journal of University-Industry-Government Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 2:16 DOI: 10.1186/s40604-015-0027-0, November 2015.
- [3.] Z. Anisic, D. Koldzin, "Innovation policy model for supporting open innovations," Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Mass Customization and Personalization in Central Europe (MCP-CE 2012), 2012, ISBN 978-86-7892-432-3, COBISS.SR-ID 273777415, pp 6-12.
- [4.] D. Koldzin, G. Stojanovic, "The role of the Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development in the progress of science and innovativeness in AP Vojvodina," Proceedings WBCInno International conference 2015, ISBN 978-86-499-0203-9, COBISS.SR-ID 299306247
- [5.] [5] H. Chesbourgh, Open Innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology, Harvard, MA: Harvard Business School Press, 2003

