

¹J.A. IGE, ²M.A. ANIFOWOSE, ³I.O. AMOTOTO,
⁴A.O. ADEYEMI, ⁵M.Y. OLAWUYI

INFLUENCE OF GROUNDNUT SHELL ASH (GSA) AND CALCIUM CHLORIDE (CaCl₂) ON STRENGTH OF CONCRETE

¹ Department of Civil Engineering, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, NIGERIA
²⁻⁵ Department of Civil Engineering, Federal Polytechnic, Offa, NIGERIA

Abstract: This research investigates the effect of groundnut shell ash (GSA) and calcium chloride (CaCl₂) as partial replacement of cement in concrete. The replacement levels of OPC with groundnut shell ash (GSA) were 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%. 1% of Calcium Chloride was blended with OPC/GSA in all the test specimens except from the control mix. Concrete cubes of sizes 150mm x 150mm x 150mm were cast and cured in water for 7, 14 and 28 days respectively. Slump test were conducted on fresh concrete while density test and compressive strength test was conducted on hardened concrete. The slump results revealed that the concrete becomes less workable (stiff) as the OPC/GSA and OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ percentage increases. The compressive strengths result at 28 days shows that 0% have the highest strength of 24.29 N/mm² followed by 5%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (24.07 N/mm²), 10%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (23.26 N/mm²), 15%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (21.18 N/mm²) and 20%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (19.56 N/mm²). Integration of 5%GSA+1%CaCl₂, 10%GSA/1%CaCl₂ and 15%GSA/1%CaCl₂ can be used for concrete grade 20 while 20%GSA/1%CaCl₂ replacement can be used for light weight concrete (grade 15).

Keywords: Rice Husk Ash, Calcium Chloride (CaCl₂), Cement, Concrete, Compressive Strength

1. INTRODUCTION

Concrete is the most versatile construction material because it is designed to withstand the harsh environments, with adequate strength and durability (Swathi et. al., 2015). It is obtained by mixing cementitious materials, water, aggregate and sometimes admixtures in required proportions (Ashish, 2010). Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) is one of the main ingredients used for the production of concrete and has no alternative in the civil construction industry (Amudhavalli et. al., 2012). The need to reduce the high cost of Ordinary Portland Cement in order to provide accommodation for the populace has intensified research into the use of some locally available materials that could be used as partial replacement for Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) in Civil Engineering and Building Works. Supplementary cementitious materials have been proven to be effective in meeting most of the requirements of durable concrete and blended cements are now used in many parts of the world (Obilade, 2014). Supplementary cementing materials (SCMs) are materials that when used with portland cement contribute to the properties of the hardened concrete through hydraulic or pozzolanic activity or both (Nabil et. al., 2005). Some of the commonly used supplementary cementing materials are fly ash, Silica Fume (SF), Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBFS) and Rice Husk Ash (RHA) etc (Alireza et. al., 2010). Other pozzolanic materials that can be use as supplementary cementing materials are groundnut shell ash (GSA), locust bean waste ash (LBWA), bagasse ash (BA) etc. Groundnut shell ash (GSA) is the supplementary cementitious material that was used in this research in addition with calcium chloride.

Groundnut shell is a waste from groundnut pod which is usually burnt, dumped or left to decay naturally. It constitutes about 25 % of the total pod (husk and seeds) mass. Due to the growing environmental concern and the need to conserve energy and resources, efforts have been made to properly burn the shell to ash and to examine the ash with a view to utilizing it for useful purposes (Egbe – Ngun et. al., 2014). Groundnut Shell Ash (GSA) is obtained by the combustion of groundnut shell. Various research works have been carried out on the use of GSA in cement or concrete as supplementary cementing materials.





Ndefo (2013) investigated properties of cement - groundnut shell ash concrete. He concluded that cement ash concrete at 10% partial replacement level at 0.35% water cement ratio can be used for structures that are non-load bearing.

Buari et. al. (2013) characterized strength of groundnut shell ash (GSA) and ordinary Portland cement (OPC) blended concrete in Nigeria. They concluded that the compressive strength value of the GSA/OPC blended concrete at 10% replacement level performed better and would be acceptable and considered as a good development for construction of masonry walls and mass foundations in low - cost housing in Nigeria.

Raheem et. al. (2013) studied the strength properties of groundnut shell ash (GSA) blended concrete. They recommended that superplasticizer (water-reducing admixture) should be introduced so that early strength could be generated and lower water/cement ratio is maintained.

It was observed that super plasticizer (Water-Reducing Admixture, High-Range) have been recommended and used with supplementary cementitious materials (pozzolans) by several researchers to reduce water-cement ratio and also increase strength of concrete but in this present study, calcium chloride (Accelerating Admixture) was used in addition with groundnut shell ash (GSA) to improve strength of concrete.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

☐ Materials

- » Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) – Dangote cement brands 42.5R was used and the specific gravity (tested) was 3.13.
- » Portable water which is free from suspended particles, salts and oil contamination was used throughout this study.
- » The fine aggregate used in this research was natural sand most of which passes through sieve 4.75mm and conformed to IS 383-1970.
- » Crushed stone of 19.0mm maximum size which conformed to IS 383-1970 was used.
- » Calcium Chloride Anhydrous (CaCl_2 , 95% Assay), which conformed to ASTM C494 (1999) were used.
- » Groundnut Shell Ash (GSA)

The groundnut shells (locally available materials) were collected from a milling store at Tsaragi, Edu Local Government of Kwara State. The groundnut shells were burnt to ashes at a temperature of 650°C by Thermolyne Furnace at Foundry and Forging Workshop, Mechanical Engineering Department Federal Polytechnic Offa. The ashes were further grinded to a require level of finer particles with milling machine and allow to pass through sieve No.200 (75 μm). The groundnut shell, groundnut shell ash and grinded groundnut shell ash are shown in Figure 1 a, b and c respectively.



Figure 1: (a) Groundnut Shell, (b) Groundnut Shell Ash and (c) Grinded Groundnut Shell Ash

☐ Methods

The experimental program was designed to investigate influence of groundnut shell ash (GSA) and calcium chloride (CaCl_2) on Strength of Concrete. The replacement levels of OPC with groundnut shell ash (GSA) were 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%. 1% of calcium chloride was blended with OPC/ GSA in all the test specimens except from the control mix. Concrete cubes of sizes 150mm x 150mm x 150mm were cast and cured in water for 7,14 and 28 days respectively. A total of 45 cubes were produced (9 cubes for the control and 36 cubes for concrete with groundnut shell ash/calcium chloride). A mix ratio of 1:2:4 was adopted for the concrete production and batching was done by weight. At the end of each different curing age, the cubes were crushed using a compression testing machine to determine their compressive strengths. The mix proportions of all specimens for replacement of cement with groundnut shell ash/calcium chloride are shown in Table 1.





Table 1: Mix Proportions for Groundnut Shell Ash (GSA) Concrete

S/N	W/C Ratio		Cement (kg)	GSA		CaCl ₂ (1%) (g)	Aggregates	
	w/c	(kg)		(%)	(kg)		Fine (kg)	Coarse (kg)
1	0.6	9	15	0	0	0	30	60
2	0.6	9	14.1	5	0.75	150	30	60
3	0.6	9	13.35	10	1.5	150	30	60
4	0.6	9	12.6	15	2.25	150	30	60
5	0.6	9	11.85	20	3	150	30	60

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

☐ Chemical (Oxides) Composition of OPC and RHA

The results of oxides composition of OPC and GSA tested are shown in Table 2. From Table 2, the values of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, and MgO (of OPC) fell within the limit specified by SP:23 (1982) and Neville, A.M. (2011) but the value of CaO is below the required limit. However, the values of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, CaO and MgO corresponds with the one reported by Faleye et al., (2009).

ASTM C-618 (2005) specifies that the sum of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and Fe₂O₃ of a pozzolanic material should not be less than 70%.

The sum of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and Fe₂O₃ of the groundnut shell ash (GSA) tested as shown in Table 2 is 21.32% which is low to that of Alababan et. al. (2006), Buhari et. al. (2013) and 70% specified by ASTM C-618 (2005). However, the result of the groundnut shell ash (GSA) tested shows that silicon dioxide (SiO₂) have the highest percentage of oxide composition.

☐ Specific Gravity of Aggregate

The result obtained from specific gravity of fine and coarse aggregate are shown below in Table 3.

The range of specific gravity of aggregates as specified by ACI Education Bulletin E1 (2007) ranges from 2.30 to 2.90. The results of specific gravity of fine and coarse aggregate shown in Table 2 are within the acceptable limits for fine and coarse aggregates.

Table 3: Specific gravity of Fine and Coarse Aggregate

S/No.	Test Samples	Specific Gravity
1.	Fine Aggregate	2.65
2.	Coarse Aggregate	2.63

Table 4: Fineness modulus of Fine & Coarse Aggregate

S/No.	Test Samples	Fineness modulus
1.	Fine Aggregate	2.9
2.	Coarse Aggregate	6.3

☐ Fineness Modulus of Aggregate

The fineness modulus was conducted in accordance with ACI Education Bulletin E1 (2007). From the sieve analysis, the following fineness modulus as shown in Table 4 was obtained.

ACI Education Bulletin E1 (2007) reports that fineness modulus is most commonly computed for fine aggregates, while the fineness modulus of coarse aggregate is needed for some proportioning methods. However, for fine aggregate used in concrete, the fineness modulus (FM) generally ranges from 2.3 to 3.1. The result of fineness modulus in Table 4 falls within the acceptable limits for fine aggregates.

☐ Tests on Fresh Concrete

In this research, only slump test was carried out to determine the workability of the fresh concrete.

☐ Slump Test

The slump test was conducted on all replacement level of GSA by weight of cement (both OPC/GSA and OPC/GSA/CaCl₂) and the results are shown in Table 5. The test conformed to ASTM C192/C192M (2006).

Table 5: Results of Slump Test of concrete with Different Percentages of GSA

S/N	Replacement (%)	Slump Height (mm)	Relacement (%)	Slump Height (mm)
1	0%	40	0%	40
2	5% GSA	30	5 GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	20
3	10% GSA	25	10 GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	15
4	15% GSA	20	15 GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	15
5	20% GSA	15	20 GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	10

True slump was exhibited by the concrete in the fresh concrete mix. Table 5 shows that the slump height values reduce as the OPC/GSA and OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ content increases.

These results indicate that the concrete becomes less workable (stiff) as the OPC/GSA and OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ percentage increases. The slump values of OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ at all replacement level are





less than that of OPC/GSA. However, the result shows that more water is required to make the mixes more workable as the percentage increases. The results are represented in Figure 2.

Tests on Hardened Concrete

Hardened concrete assumes important properties that are retained for the life of the concrete and these properties include density, strength and deformation under load and durability among others.

Density Test

The densities of concrete cubes made with different replacement level of OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ for age 7, 14, and 28 days hydration period are given in Table 6. The test was done in accordance with CS1:2010.

Table 6: Summary of Densities of Concrete Cubes




Figure 2: Slump Height against GSA-Replacement

Age (Days)	Mean Densities of Concrete Cube (Kg/m ³)				
	0%	5 GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	10GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	15GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	20 GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂
7	2480	2483	2468	2409	2350
14	2480	2459	2450	2421	2361
28	2495	2450	2468	2361	2361

All concrete cubes produced falls within the range of 2300Kg/m³-2500Kg/m³. The densities of all the samples tested fell within the normal range of concrete specified by Jones (1999).

Compressive Strength Test

The compressive strength tests on the concrete cubes were carried out with compression testing machine. This was done in accordance with CS1:2010. The results of all concrete cubes strength are shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Compressive Strength of Concrete Cubes

Age (Days)	Mean Compressive Strength (N/mm ²)				
	0%	5 GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	10GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	15GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂	20 GSA%, 1% CaCl ₂
7	13.93	13.63	12.59	11.41	10.52
14	19.71	17.33	16.59	14.37	12.82
28	24.29	24.07	23.26	21.18	19.56

The results of the compressive strength of concrete cubes show that the compressive strengths reduced as the percentage OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ increased. However, the compressive strengths increased as the number of days of curing increased for each percentage of OPC/RHA/CaCl₂ replacement. Table 7 shows that for the control cube (plain concrete i.e., 0% replacement), the compressive strength increased from 13.93N/mm² at 7 days to 24.29 N/mm² at 28 days (strength gained of 57% at 7days). The 28 day strength was above the specified value of 20 N/mm² for grade 20 concrete (BS 8110:1997) as shown in Table 8.

Table 8: Required/Recommended Strength of Concrete (BS8110 Part 2, 1985)

Grade	Characteristic Strength, <i>f_{cu}</i> (N/mm ²)	Cube Strength at an age of:				
		7 days	2 months	3 months	6 months	1 year
20	20.0	13.5	22	23	24	25
25	25.0	16.5	27.5	29	30	31
30	30.0	20	33	35	36	37
40	40.0	28	44	45.5	47.5	50
50	50.0	36	54	55.5	57.5	60

The strength of 5% replacement by groundnut shell ash/1%calcium chloride showed increase in compressive strength from 13.63 N/mm² at 7 days to 24.07N/mm² at 28 days (strength gained of 56.6% at 7days). The 28 day strength was above the specified value of 20N/mm² for grade 20 concrete (BS 8110:1997) as shown in Table 8.

The strength of 10% replacement by groundnut shell ash/1%calcium chloride showed increase in compressive strength from 12.59 N/mm² at 7 days to 23.26N/mm² at 28 days (strength gained of 54.1% at 7days). The 28 day strength was above the specified value of 20N/mm² for grade 20 concrete (BS 8110:1997) as shown in Table 8.

The strength of 15% replacement by groundnut shell ash/1%calcium chloride showed increase in compressive strength from 11.41 N/mm² at 7 days to 21.18 N/mm² at 28 days (strength gained of 53.9%





at 7 days). The 28 day strength was above the specified value of 20N/mm² for grade 20 concrete (BS 8110:1997) as shown in Table 8.

The strength of the 20% replacement by groundnut shell ash/1%calcium chloride showed increase in compressive strength from 10.52N/mm² at 7 days to 19.56N/mm² at 28 days (strength gained of 53.8% at 7days). The 28 day strength was below the specified value of 20N/mm² for concrete grade 20 (BS 8110:1997) as shown in Table 8.

At 7 days, the compressive strength results of 0% and 5%GSA/1%CaCl₂ met the minimum required compressive strength of 13.5N/mm² for grade 20 concrete specified by BS8110 Part 2 (1985). Conclusively, the compressive strengths result at 28 days shows that 0% have the highest strength of 24.29 N/mm² followed by 5%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (24.07N/mm²), 10%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (23.26N/mm²), 15%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (21.18N/mm²) and 20%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (19.56N/mm²). The results are represented in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Relationship between Compressive Strength and GSA/CaCl₂ – Replacement

4. CONCLUSIONS

From the results, the following conclusions can be drawn from this present study:

- » The specific gravity and fineness modulus of aggregates conformed to the limits specified by American concrete institute specification (ACI Education Bulletin E1-2007).
- » The slump values indicate that the concrete becomes less workable (stiff) as the OPC/GSA and OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ percentage increases. However, the slump values of OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ at all replacement level are less than that of OPC/GSA.
- » The compressive strengths of concrete reduced as the percentage of OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ replacement increased at all curing ages.
- » The compressive strengths increases as the number of days of curing increased for each percentage of OPC/GSA/CaCl₂ replacement.
- » The compressive strengths result at 28 days shows that 0% have the highest strength of 24.29 N/mm² followed by 5%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (24.07 N/mm²), 10%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (23.26 N/mm²), 15%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (21.18 N/mm²) and 20%GSA/1%CaCl₂ (19.56 N/mm²).
- » Water/cement ratio should be increase as the percentage replacement of GSA increases in order to improve the workability of fresh concrete.
- » The integration of 5%GSA+1%CaCl₂, 10%GSA/1%CaCl₂ and 15%GSA/1%CaCl₂ can be used for concrete grade 20 while 20%GSA/1%CaCl₂ replacement can be used for light weight concrete (grade 15).
- » Integration of 5%GSA+1%CaCl₂ replacement would produce a concrete of higher strength and better durability compared to plain concrete for grade 20.

References

- [1] Alabandan, B.A.; Njoku, C.F. and Yusuf, M.O.: The Potentials of Groundnut Shell Ash as Concrete Admixture. Agricultural Engineering International, the CIGR Ejournal. Manuscript BC 05 012, Vol.VIII, 1-8, (2011).
- [2] Alireza, N.G.; Suraya, A.R.; Farah, N.A. and Mohamad, A.S.: Contribution of Rice Husk Ash to the Properties of Mortar and Concrete: A Review, Journal of American Science, volume 6 (3), 157-165. (2010).
- [3] ACI Education Bulletin E1-07: Aggregates for Concrete, American Concrete Institute, Developed by Committee E-701, Materials For Concrete Construction, (2007).
- [4] ASTM C192/C192M-06: Standard Practice for Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Laboratory, American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM International), 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States, (2006).
- [5] ASTM C494-99: Standard Specification for Chemical Admixtures for Concrete, American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM International), 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States, (1999).
- [6] ASTM C 618-05: Specifications for Coal Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolan for Use in Concrete, American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM International), 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States, (2005).





- [7] Amudhavalli, N. K. and Mathew, J.: Effect of Silica Fume on Strength and Durability Parameters of Concrete, *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Emerging Technologies*, volume 3 (issue 1), 28-35, (2012).
- [8] Ashish, K.D.: Effect of Pozzolanas on Fiber Reinforced Concrete, A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Technology in Civil Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela-769008, pp:1, Retrieved February 19, 2015, from http://ethesis.nitrkl.ac.in/2032/1/FINAL_PROJECT_OF_M_TECH.pdf (2010).
- [9] BS 8110 Part 2: Structural Use of Concrete, Code of Practice for Design and Construction, British Standards Institution, London. pp:7/1, (1985).
- [10] Buari, T.A.; Ademola, S.A. and Ayegbokiki, S.T.: Characteristics Strength of groundnut shell ash (GSA) and Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) blended Concrete in Nigeria, *IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN)*, volume 3 (issue 7), 1-7, (2013).
- [11] CS1: Construction Standard, "Testing Concrete", The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, volume 2 of 2, 14-28, September 2010.
- [12] Egbe – Ngu, N.O. and Okorie A.U.: Effect of Particle Size of Groundnut Husk Ash (GHA) in Cement Paste and Mortar, *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, volume 2 (issue 9), 2764-2769. (2014).
- [13] Faleye, F. J; Ogunnubi, S. and Olaofe, O.: Chemical and Physical Analyses of Selected Cement Samples in Nigerian Market. *Bangladesh Journal Of scientific And Industrial research*, 44(1), 41-50, (2009).
- [14] IS 383: Indian Standard Specification For Coarse and Fine Aggregates From Natural Sources For Concrete, 2nd Revision, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi 110002, pp. 4-18, (1970), 9th Reprint September, 1993(Reaffirmed 2002).
- [15] Jones, K.: Density of Concrete, *The Physics Factbook*, Retrieved January 7, 2015, from www.hypertextbook.com/facts/1999/katrinajones.shtml, (1999).
- [16] Nabil, B. and Simon, F.: Use of Fly Ash and Slag in Concrete (A Best Practice Guide), Sponsored by Government of Canada Action Plan 2000 on Climate Change, (2005).
- [17] Ndefo, O.: Properties of Cement - Groundnut Shell Ash Concrete, *International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Science*, volume 8 (issue 2), 16-23, (2013).
- [18] Neville, A.M.: *Properties of concrete*, 5th Edition, Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE, England, Pearson Education Limited, (2011).
- [19] Obilade, I.O.: Use of Rice Husk Ash as Partial Replacement for Cement in Concrete, *International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, volume 5 (04), 11-16, (2014).
- [20] Olawale, Olamide, Oyawale, F.A.: Characterization of Rice Husk via Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer for Optimal Silica Production, *International Journal of Science and Technology*, volume 2 (4), 210-213, (2012).
- [21] Raheem, S.B.; Oladiran, G.F.; Olutoge, F.A. and Odewumi, T. O.: Strength Properties of Groundnut Shell Ash (GSA) Blended Concrete, *Journal of civil engineering and construction technology*, volume 4 (9), 275-284, (2013).
- [22] SP 23: Handbook on Concrete Mixes": Based On Indian Standards, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi 110002, (1982) 6th Reprint, November 2001.
- [23] Swathi, J. and Gnanadevi, V.: An Experimental Investigation on Concrete by Partial Replacement of Copper Slag For Fine Aggregate and Ceramic Waste with Coarse Aggregate, *International Journal of Emerging Technology in Computer Science & Electronics*, volume 13 (issue 4), 137-142, (2015).

ANNALS of Faculty Engineering Hunedoara
– International Journal of Engineering



copyright © UNIVERSITY POLITEHNICA TIMISOARA,
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING HUNEDOARA,
5, REVOLUTIEI, 331128, HUNEDOARA, ROMANIA
<http://annals.fih.upt.ro>

