^{1.}Gabriel POPESCU, ^{2.}Nicoleta Raluca JIANU, ^{1.}Ioana Corina MOGA, ^{3.}Iulian VOICEA, ^{4.}Aneta CHIVOIU, ^{4.}Mirela SIMION, ^{5.}Elena Laura TROANCĂ

AGRICULTURAL AND OTHER WASTES USED IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

¹ Romanian Academy, National Institute for Economic Research, Centre for Study & Research for AgroForestry Biodiversity "Acad. David Davidescu", ROMANIA ² Bucharest University of Economic Studies, ROMANIA

³. The National Institute of Research – Development for Machines and Installations Designed for Agriculture and Food Industry, ROMANIA

⁴ North Giurgiu Technological and Industrial Park, ROMANIA

^{5.}DFR Systems SRL, ROMANIA

Abstract: The problem of sludge management in wastewater treatment plants remains a current issue. The characteristics of the sludge depend on the degree of pollution and the nature of the pollutants in the wastewater undergoing purification and on the sludge treatment methods. Before utilization or disposal, the sludge must be treated, with the aim of reducing the water content, fermentation properties and the presence of pathogens. Treated sludge can be used or disposed of, most commonly, in three ways: agricultural use, incineration or landfilling, depending on the properties of the sludge, as well as the option of the treatment plant operator. Other disposal and recovery methods exists, but they are less used. Researchers are looking for new ways to utilize this type of waste in order to minimize the negative impact both on the environment and on the operators of treatment plants. The article presents a way of handling for this waste — the use of sludge for the manufacture of construction materials. Agricultural waste can also be incorporated into building materials. **Keywords:** waste, brick, agriculture, wastewater treatment, sludge

1. INTRODUCTION

Sludges from urban wastewater treatment plants come from various stages of treatment processes and are subject to waste regulations (*Nabaterega, R., Kumar, V., Khoei, S., & Eskicioglu, C., 2021; Hoang, S. A., Bolan, N., Madhubashani, A. M. P., Vithanage, M., Perera, V., Wijesekara, H., ... & Siddique, K. H., 2022*). They contain both compounds with agricultural value (organic matter, nutrients – nitrogen and phosphorus, potassium and small amounts of calcium, sulfur and magnesium), as well as pollutants such as: heavy metals, toxic organic substances, microplastics and pathogens (*Koyuncuoğlu, P., & Erden, G., 2021; Shi, W., Healy, M. G., Ashekuzzaman, S. M., Daly, K., Leahy, J. J., & Fenton, O., 2021; Nunes, N., Ragonezi, C., Gouveia, C. S., & Pinheiro de Carvalho, M. Â., 2021*). The characteristics of the sludge depend on the degree of pollution and the nature of the pollutants in the wastewater undergoing purification and on the sludge treatment methods. Before utilization or disposal, the sludge must be treated, with the aim of reducing the water content, fermentation properties and the presence of pathogens. Treated sludge can be used or disposed of, most commonly, in three ways: agricultural use, incineration or landfilling, depending on the properties of the sludge, as well as the option of the treatment plant operator. Other disposal and recovery methods exists, but they are less used.

The measures to reduce the negative impact in the recovery of sludge from sewage treatment plants can be classified according to three research directions:

- primary measures applied before purification, within the pre–purification stations;
- secondary measures, i.e. measures along the technological flow of purification;
- final measures for disposal / recovery of sludge: biogas, agriculture, degraded lands, forestry, nurseries, tailings dumps, decantation ponds, incineration, construction materials, underground spaces, in ecological deposits intended for purified sludge.

The primary, secondary and final measures are dependent on other measures (legislative, institutional, technical, administrative, economic, logistical, financial, etc.).

In practice, there are no standard solutions for the elimination and valorization of sludge from sewage treatment plants, all sludge valorization options imply additional costs and cooperation with third parties, while the quality of the sludge must meet the regulations in force.

For example, sludge can be used in agriculture regardless of the moisture content, but must comply with Order 344/2004 and related restrictions. Composting cannot be considered a final way of capitalizing or eliminating sludge, but only represents a process through which the sludge becomes more viable, in order to use it for the production of natural fertilizers for agriculture, however, there are difficulties encountered by users in completing the necessary formalities for sludge transport. The use of sludge in agriculture is limited to a maximum of two times per year based on a use permit, based on agrochemical studies and a

Tome XXI [2023] | Fascicule 1 [February]

fertilization plan. Land quality rehabilitation and forestry can periodically offer opportunities to use sludge, but they cannot ensure the uptake of large quantities of sludge.

Punctually, the use of sludge for land conversion or for closing waste deposits is a medium–term solution. The approval of the environmental authorities is required when the sludge is used in quantities greater than those accepted from an agronomic point of view. The impact on the environmental components in the application of this solution must be carefully studied, as well as the risk analysis for the environment and the health of the population.

As can be seen, currently recourse is made to the application of auxiliary methods through various alternatives for managing / storing / valorizing the sludge for each region, respectively each sewage treatment plant operator; there being no universally valid solution for sludge recovery.

Starting from the need to capitalize on the resulting sludge in industrial wastewater treatment plants, it was pursued through the experiments presented in this article, its transformation into an inert waste, which can have various uses in the field of constructions (bricks, material for making plasters). Until now, in construction, the most common types of bricks are those made of burnt clay or autoclaved cellular concrete.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The results obtained as a result of the use of sludge in the manufacture of construction materials are presented below. 12 experiments were carried out, the results of which are presented in the following section. In the sludge valorization process, an extremely important role was played by the treatment and preliminary characterization of the sludge, but also the characterization of the waste water that was subjected to purification.

The preparation of the sludge samples, starting from the characteristics of the treated wastewater up to the dewatering process, has a double purpose: the relevance and success of the experiment, i.e. the access to a positive result regarding the initial objective in compliance with the waste recovery legislation.

Each stage of the sludge recovery process is influenced by the previous ones, the links between the initial conditions and the results being affected by a complexity of factors.

Stages of the sludge valorization and inertization process:

- a) Obtaining sludge by treating waste water;
- b) Dehydration of the sludge by filtration on filter bags and/or centrifugation on a laboratory centrifuge;
- c) Utilization of sludge by inertization with cement.
- Obtaining sludge by treating waste water. Physico–chemical analyzes were performed for the 12 experimental tests (pH, total organic carbon, dissolved organic carbon, humidity, organic substances, inorganic substances, chlorides, sulfates, fluorides, phenol index, total dissolved substances, metals – arsenic, cadmium, copper, total chromium, molybdenum, nickel, lead, zinc, mercury). The technological process from which the tested sludge originates is a physico-chemical industrial wastewater treatment process, a process in which the physico-chemical characteristics of the wastewater vary. For this reason, during the experiments, we worked with homogeneous samples. According to the working procedure of these standards, for a maximum amount of 2 tons of sludge, 10 samples of 200 grams each were taken, thus constituting homogeneous samples of 2 kg. 10 such samples of 2 kg were constituted. These were then homogenized again and the sample used for the experimental part was constituted. The transport and preservation of sludge samples was carried out at a temperature of 2 -5°C and in safe conditions to avoid damage or destruction of the container and contamination or loss of the contents/sample. The part of the sample separated for physico-chemical determinations was preserved in glass vessels. The sludge samples taken were homogenized to constitute a single representative sample. The constituted sludge sample was codified and sampled in 3 sub-samples for the experimental tests.
- Sludge dehydration. Dehydration of the sludge was achieved by filtering on filter bags and then by centrifugation. This activity aims to obtain a sludge with a humidity lower than 80%, according to the requirements of the proposed technology.
- Utilization of sludge by inerting it with cement. Following the research carried out, 2 options were proposed for the valorization of industrial sludge: the production of bricks and the production of material for plasters.
- Obtaining bricks. Centrifuged sludge is mixed in an approximate ratio of: 45% cement + 55% sludge. The mixture is homogenized for 15 minutes, until a homogeneous paste is obtained, which is poured into

metal or wooden molds. It is left to dry for 24 h, it is removed from the molds, leaving it in the open air for 3 - 4 days, in order for the lime from the sludge to come in contact with CO2 from the air, which helps to increase the resistance.

Obtaining the material for plasters. The centrifuged sludge is mixed in the approximate ratio: 25% cement + 75% sludge. The mud is mixed with the cement, over which 20% water is added. The mixture is homogenized for 15 minutes. These mixtures dry freely. In order to control the quality of the sludge both at the entrance and exit of the process, physico-chemical analyzes were carried out on the characteristics of the sludge by a specialized laboratory.

During this period, wastewater with variable physico–chemical characteristics presented in Table 1 was treated in the treatment plant.

Table 1. The physical characteristics of the waste water from which the faw studye for experiments is obtained								
Parameter	Unit	Values						
рН	pH unit	9,36 — 9,48						
Suspended matter	mg/L	634,00 - 704,00						
Biological Oxygen Demand BOD ₅	mg O ₂ /L	360,12 - 420,12						
Chemical Oxygen Demand CODCr	mg O_2/L	676,12 –742,18						
Detergents	mg/L	2,31 – 3,22						
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	2,22 — 2,64						
Sulfates	mg/L	382,38 - 416,68						
Ammonium	mg/L	1,72 – 2,38						
Extractable substances	mg/L	29,90 — 30,00						

Table 1. The physico-chemical characteristics of the waste water from which the raw sludge for experiments is obtained

In developing the experiments, the following standards in force (related to Romanian legislation) were respected, as a methodology:

- SR CEN/TR 15310–1:2009. Waste characterization. Waste sampling. Part 2: Guidance for the selection and application of sampling criteria under different conditions;
- SR CEN/TR 15310–2:2009. Waste characterization. Waste sampling. Part 2: Guidance for sampling techniques;
- SR CEN/TR 15310–3:2009. Waste characterization. Waste sampling. Part 3: Guidance on procedures for sub–sampling in the field;
- SR CEN/TR 15473:2009. Sludge characterization. Good practice for sludge drying;
- SR EN 12457–1/2003. Waste characterization. Leaching. Compliance verification test for granular waste and sludge leaching. Part 1: One–step batch test at a liquid–solid ratio of 2 l/kg for materials with high solid content and particle size below 4 mm;
- SR EN 12457–2/2003. Waste characterization. Leaching. Compliance verification test for granular waste and sludge leaching. Part 1: One–stage batch test at a liquid–to–solids ratio of 10 l/kg for materials with high solids content and particle size below 4 mm.

3. RESULTS

12 experiments were carried out, finally obtaining 2 types of construction materials: bricks and material for plasters. The main product is considered the brick.

As a result of following the above–mentioned methodology, experiment number 1 is presented with detailed description and pictures and the conclusions present the results obtained from the comparison of the 12 sets of experiments.

Experiment 1 – Working method for the preparation of the final brick product. The amount of mud used to obtain the final product, brick, was 2.75 kg. The amount of cement used to obtain the final product, brick, was 2.25 kg. The raw water sample was treated with aluminum sulfate, dose 0.5 g/l, for precipitation and coagulation of organic and inorganic substances. The resulting sludge after settling for 24 hours was filtered on filter bags and was later centrifuged in a laboratory centrifuge. Dewatered sludge was mixed in the ratio: 45% cement + 55% sludge. The mixture was homogenized for 15 minutes, until a homogeneous paste was obtained, which was poured into wooden molds. It was left to dry for 24 hours, removed from the molds, leaving it in the open air for 3 - 4 days, to allow the lime in the mud to come into contact with the CO2 in the air, which helped to increase the strength of the brick.

Figures 1–10 show the stages of making a brick. Table 2 shows the main stages in the sludge recovery process, with its main characteristics, and table 3 shows the main characteristics of the produced bricks. Characteristics of the final obtained product – sample code 1.1: stable shape, with high hardness to mechanical shocks.

Tome XXI [2023] | Fascicule 1 [February]



for physico-chemical analysis -

sample code N1



experiment 1.1





sludge sample used in

experiment 1.1



moisture content of the sludge

sample before filtration and

centrifugation



Figure 1 – Preserved sludge sample Figure 2 – Raw sludge used in Figure 3 – Appearance of the Figure 4 – Determination of the Figure 5 – Filtration on a bag filter to obtain sludge





Figure 6 – Sludge centrifugation, on Figure 7 – Centrifugation on a Figure 8 – The resulting a laboratory centrifuge laboratory centrifuge



sludge after centrifugation

Table 2. Followed stages and parameters



Figure 9 – Determination of the humidity of the sludge sample after filtration and centrifugation



Figure 10 – Final brick product – sample code 1.1

N: Test Sludge metting Solid, stable one-crumbling, hard, gray product Image: mething with a harmer) N: Test 24 h 1 day 2 days 3 days Mapearance Wet, it keeps its shape The hardening process is finalized The product is dry, and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a harmer) N: Test Sludge motion Sludge motion Sludge The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a harmer) 1 1.1 90,27 64,76 45 55 Brick 1.1 The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a harmer) 2 1.2 90,27 64,76 55 Brick 1.1 The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a harmer) 3 1.3 90,27 64,76 55 Brick 1.1 The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a harmer) 3 1.3 90,27 64,76 50 50 Brick 1.3 The product is dry, wereas to the plastered surface, stable after 3 days of drying 5 1.5 92,01 78,19 20 50 Brick 1.3 The product is dry, and h	Stage				Sludge							
Wastewater treatment Semi-liquid, dark blue-grey 90,27 Sludge filtration and centrifugation Solid, pasty, dark blue 64,76 Sludge inerting Solid, stable non-crumbling, hard, gray product - Table 3. The physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1 The phardening process is finalized The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer) N°. Test Sludge moisture [%] Composition [%] Final product code Final product description 1 1.1 90,27 64,76 45 55 Brick 1.2 The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer) 2 1.2 90,27 64,76 40 60 Brick 1.2 The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer) 3 1.3 90,27 64,76 50 50 Brick 1.3 The product is dry, wery hard, resistant to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer) 3 1.3 90,27 64,76 50 50 Brick 1.3 The product is dry, wery hard, resistant to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer) 5 1.5 92,01 </td <td></td> <td colspan="3">Appearance</td> <td>Humidity [%]</td>					Appearance			Humidity [%]				
Sludge filtration and centrifugationSolid, pasty, dark blue64,76Solid, stable non-crumbling, hard, gray product-Table 3. The physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1Drying time24 to physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1Drying time24 to physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1Table 3. The physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1Totale 3. The physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1Totale 3. The physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1The hardening process beginsThe hardening process is finalizedThe hardening process beginsThe hardening process is finalizedFinal product descriptionThe hardening process beginsThe hardening process is final product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a harmer)Table 3. The product is dry with a harmer)The solution is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a harmer)The product is	Wastewater treatment					Semi—liquid, dark blue—grey			90,27			
Sludge inerting Solid, stable non-crumbling, hard, gray product - Table 3. The physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1 Table 3. The physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1 Drying time 24h 1day 2 days 3 days Appearance Wet, it keeps its shape The hardening process begins The hardening process is finalized The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer) N° Test Sludge moisture [%] Composition [%] Final product code Final product description 1 1.1 90,27 64,76 45 55 Brick 1.2 The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer) 3 1.3 90,27 64,76 50 50 Brick 1.2 The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer) 3 1.3 90,27 64,76 50 50 Brick 1.3 The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks 4 1.4 92,01 78,19 25 75 Plaster material 1.4 The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks 5 1.5 92,01		Sludge	filtration and cer	trifugation			Solid, p	oasty, dark	blue	64,76		
Table 3. The physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1Drying time24h1 day2 days3 daysAppearanceWet, it keeps its shapeThe hardening process beginsThe hardening process is finalizedThe product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)NºTestSludge moisture [%]Composition [%]Final product codeFinal product description11.190,2764,764555Brick 1.2The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)21.290,2764,765050Brick 1.2The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.2The product is dry, out porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.2The product is dry, up yhard, resistant to mechanical shocks41.492,0178,192575Plaster material 1.4The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)51.592,0178,193070Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, wery hard, resistant to mechanical shocks61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface7 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Sludge inertin</td> <td>]</td> <td></td> <td colspan="3">Solid, stable non—crumbling, hard, gray product</td> <td>-</td>			Sludge inertin]		Solid, stable non—crumbling, hard, gray product			-			
Drying time24 h1 day2 days3 daysAppearaceWet, it keeps its shapeThe hardening process beginsThe hardening process is finalizedThe product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)N°.TestSludge moisture [%]Composition [%]Final product codeFinal product description11.190,2764,764555Brick 1.1The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)21.290,2764,764060Brick 1.2The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, wery hard, resistant to mechanical shocks41.492,0178,192575Plaster material 1.4The product is dry, wery hard, resistant to mechanical shocks51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, but porous and brittle, crumbly, with reduced adhesion to the plastered surface.61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface.71.798,6374,304060Brick 1.8The product is dry, but porous and britte when subjected to mechanical stress (hitti	Table 3. The physical behavior over time of the final brick product sample code 1.1											
AppearanceWet, it keeps its shape process beginsThe hardening finalizedThe hardening process is finalizedThe product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)Table 4. compartive results obtained after performing the 12 experimentsN°.TestSludge UndehydratedComposition [%]Final product codeFinal product description11.190,2764,764555Brick 1.1The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)21.290,2764,764060Brick 1.2The product is dry, but porous and britle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical stress of drying51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, but procus and britle when subjected on mechanical stress (hitting with a lammer)51.798,6374,304060Brick 1.7The product is dry, wery hard, resistant to mechanical stress of drying61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, but porous and britle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)91.998,6374,304060Brick 1.7The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjecte		Drying	time	24 h		1 day 2 da		2 days	3 days			
Table 4. Comparative results obtained after performing the 12 experimentsN°TestSludge moisture [%]Composition [%]Final product codeFinal product description11.190,2764,764555Brick 1.1The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)21.290,2764,764060Brick 1.2The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks41.492,0178,192575Plaster material 1.4The product is dry, wery hard, resistant to mechanical shocks51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, wery hard, resistant to mechanical shocks61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface, with slight cracks71.798,6374,304060Brick 1.7The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks81.898,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shoc	Appearance		ance We	t, it keeps its sh	аре	Pe The hardening process begins		The hard	dening process is finalized	product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)		
N°.TestSludge moisture [%]Composition [%]Final product codeFinal product codeFinal product description11.190,2764,764555Brick 1.1The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)21.290,2764,764060Brick 1.2The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks41.492,0178,192575Plaster material 1.4The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to gradient of drying51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, brittle, crumbly, with reduced adhesion to the plastered surface, stable after 3 days of drying61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface, with slight cracks71.798,6374,304060Brick 1.8The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical shocks81.898,6374,305050Brick 1.8The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10The product is dry, hard, resistant	Table 4. Comparative results obtained after performing the 12 experiments											
N.TestUndehydratedDehydratedcementsludge11.190,2764,764555Brick 1.1The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)21.290,2764,764060Brick 1.2The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks41.492,0178,192575Plaster material 1.4The product is dry, adheres to the plastered surface, stable after 3 days of drying51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, brittle, crumbly, with reduced adhesion to the plastered surface61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface, with slight cracks71.798,6374,304555Brick 1.7The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical shocks81.898,6374,304060Brick 1.8The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554060Brick 1.11The product is brittle, crumbly121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with sl	NI0	Toct	Sludge moi	sture [%]	Composi	ition [%]	Final prod	luct code		Final product description		
11.190,2764,764555Brick 1.1The product is dry and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)21.290,2764,764060Brick 1.2The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks41.492,0178,192575Plaster material 1.4The product is dry, otheres to the plastered surface, stable after 3 days of drying51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, brittle, crumbly, with reduced adhesion to the plastered surface61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface, with slight cracks71.798,6374,304555Brick 1.7The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks81.898,6374,305050Brick 1.8The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.10Dry, stable product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks111.1196,5582,554060Brick 1.10Dry, stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crum	IN⁻.	Test	Undehydrated	Dehydrated	cement	sludge						
21.290,2764,764060Brick 1.2The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks41.492,0178,192575Plaster material 1.4The product is dry, adheres to the plastered surface, stable after 3 days of drying51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, brittle, crumbly, with reduced adhesion to the plastered surface61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface, with slight cracks71.798,6374,304555Brick 1.7The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to 	1	1.1	90,27	64,76	45	55	Brick 1.1 The product is dry ar		The product is dr	y and hard to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)		
31.390,2764,765050Brick 1.3The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks41.492,0178,192575Plaster material 1.4The product is dry, adheres to the plastered surface, stable after 3 days of drying51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, brittle, crumbly, with reduced adhesion to the plastered surface61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface71.798,6374,304555Brick 1.7The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks81.898,6374,304060Brick 1.8The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10Dry, stable product, with a slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress111.1196,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	2	1.2	90,27	64,76	40	60	Brick 1.2		The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)			
41.492,0178,192575Plaster material 1.4The product is dry, adheres to the plastered surface, stable after 3 days of drying51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, brittle, crumbly, with reduced adhesion to the plastered surface61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface, with slight cracks71.798,6374,304555Brick 1.7The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks81.898,6374,304060Brick 1.8The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10Dry, stable product, with a slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress111.1196,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	3	1.3	90,27	64,76	50	50	Brick 1.3		The product is o	is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks		
51.592,0178,192080Plaster material 1.5The product is dry, brittle, crumbly, with reduced adhesion to the plastered surface61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface, with slight cracks71.798,6374,304555Brick 1.7The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks81.898,6374,304060Brick 1.8The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10Dry, stable product, with a slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress111.1196,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	4	1.4	92,01	78,19	25	75	Plaster material 1.4 The product is dry, adhered		The product is dry, a	res to the plastered surface, stable after 3 days of drying		
61.692,0178,193070Plaster material 1.6Solid product, with adhesion to the plastered surface, with slight cracks71.798,6374,304555Brick 1.7The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks81.898,6374,304060Brick 1.8The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10Dry, stable product, with a slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress111.1196,5582,554060Brick 1.11The product is brittle, crumbly121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	5	1.5	92,01	78,19	20	80	Plaster ma	er material 1.5 The product is dry, bri		, brittle, crumbly, with reduced adhesion to the plastered surface		
71.798,6374,304555Brick 1.7The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shocks81.898,6374,304060Brick 1.8The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10Dry, stable product, with a slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress111.1196,5582,554060Brick 1.11The product is brittle, crumbly121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	6	1.6	92,01	78,19	30	70	Plaster material 1.6 Solid product, with		Solid product, with a	hesion to the plastered surface, with slight cracks		
81.898,6374,304060Brick 1.8The product is dry, but porous and brittle when subjected to mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10Dry, stable product, with a slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress111.1196,5582,554060Brick 1.11The product is brittle, crumbly121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	7	1.7	98,63	74,30	45	55	Brick	: 1.7	The product is dry, very hard, resistant to mechanical shock			
91.998,6374,305050Brick 1.9The product is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10Dry, stable product, with a slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress111.1196,5582,554060Brick 1.11The product is brittle, crumbly121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	8	1.8	98,63	74,30	40	60	Brick 1.8 The product is dry, but porous and brittle when sub mechanical stress (hitting with a hammer)		Iry, but porous and brittle when subjected to nical stress (hitting with a hammer)			
101.1096,5582,554555Brick 1.10Dry, stable product, with a slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress111.1196,5582,554060Brick 1.11The product is brittle, crumbly121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	9	1.9	98,63	74,30	50	50	Brick 1.9		The product i	t is dry, hard, resistant to mechanical shocks		
111.1196,5582,554060Brick 1.11The product is brittle, crumbly121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	10	1.10	96,55	82,55	45	55	Brick 1.10		Dry, stable prod	Dry, stable product, with a slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress		
121.1296,5582,555050Brick 1.12Stable product with slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress	11	1.11	96,55	82,55	40	60	Brick	Brick 1.11 The product is		The product is brittle, crumbly		
	12	1.12	96,55	82,55	50	50	Brick	Brick 1.12 Stable product with slight		h slight tendency to crumble under mechanical stress		

Table 4 shows the comparative results of the 12 experiments performed. Bricks were the main product made. In the experiments, the previously described methodology was followed, variating the composition/recipe of the construction materials, with the variation of the amount of sludge used.

The recipe for making bricks can be further improved. Other types of waste can also be incorporated into the final manufacturing material. The team of authors will test new recipes for making bricks. The main components from which the bricks will be made are: sludge from industrial wastewater treatment plants, agricultural waste (from different crops such as corn, wheat, rape straw, elephant grass, energy plants), cement, sand and fiberglass waste. At least 5 recipes will be conceived, in which the composition of the materials will vary. Table 5 presents the variation limits for each component of the bricks.

Table 5. The variation limits of the different materials and waste that will enter in the composition of the bricks

Recipe	Industrial sludge	Agricultural waste	Cement	Sand	Fiberglass waste
	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]
Variation of the composition of the components in the recipe	20–50	15—40	10—20	15—30	2–5

4. CONCLUSIONS

The proposed technology for obtaining bricks, dealt with in this article, is a technology for depollution and recovery of waste, eliminating the potential impact on environmental factors (water, air, soil). The solution can be improved by using several types of waste. The research team will continue the series of experiments looking for new solutions in order to ensure the most efficient management of the sewage treatment plants.

The experiments carried out by the research team led to the validation of recipes for the production of construction materials. Thus, a new solution is provided for those who manage wastewater treatment plants. The waste valorization solution leads to obtaining some essential advantages, among which: the reduction of the costs of transporting and storing the sludge generated by the sewage treatment plant; environment protection; reducing the pressure on municipal waste deposits; conferring possible income for the sewage treatment plant through the recovery of waste.

Acknowledgement

This article has been developed as a result of funding from the research project PNCDI III – PED project code no. PN–III–P2–2.1–PED–2021–3175, contract no. 727/30.06.2022, entitled "Use of renewable bioresources from agriculture and wastewater treatment plants in construction", a project supported by UEFISCDI under the PNCDI III programme.

References

- Hoang, S. A., Bolan, N., Madhubashani, A. M. P., Vithanage, M., Perera, V., Wijesekara, H., ... & Siddigue, K. H. (2022). Treatment processes to eliminate potential environmental hazards and restore agronomic value of sewage sludge: A review. Environmental Pollution, 293, 118564.
- [2] Koyuncuoğlu, P., & Erden, G. (2021). Sampling, pre-treatment, and identification methods of microplastics in sewage sludge and their effects in agricultural soils: a review. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 193(4), 1–28.
- [3] Nabatereqa, R., Kumar, V., Khoei, S., & Eskicioqlu, C. (2021). A review on two-stage anaerobic digestion options for optimizing municipal wastewater sludge treatment process. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 9(4), 105502.
- [4] Nunes, N., Ragonezi, C., Gouveia, C. S., & Pinheiro de Carvalho, M. Â. (2021). Review of sewage sludge as a soil amendment in relation to current international guidelines: a heavy metal perspective. Sustainability, 13(4), 2317.
- [5] Shi, W., Healy, M. G., Ashekuzzaman, S. M., Daly, K., Leahy, J. J., & Fenton, O. (2021). Dairy processing sludge and co-products: A review of present and future re-use pathways in agriculture. Journal of Cleaner Production, 314, 128035.

Note: This paper was presented at ISB–INMA TEH' 2022 – International Symposium on Technologies and Technical Systems in Agriculture, Food Industry and Environment, organized by University "POLITEHNICA" of Bucuresti, Faculty of Biotechnical Systems Engineering, National Institute for Research– Development of Machines and Installations designed for Agriculture and Food Industry (INMA Bucuresti), National Research & Development Institute for Food Bioresources (IBA Bucuresti), University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucuresti (UASVMB), Research–Development Institute for Plant Protection – (ICDPP Bucuresti), Research and Development Institute for Processing and Marketing of the Horticultural Products (HORTING), Hydraulics and Pneumatics Research Institute (INOE 2000 IHP) and Romanian Agricultural Mechanical Engineers Society (SIMAR), in Bucuresti, ROMANIA, in 6–7 October, 2022.



ISSN 1584 – 2665 (printed version); ISSN 2601 – 2332 (online); ISSN–L 1584 – 2665 copyright © University POLITEHNICA Timisoara, Faculty of Engineering Hunedoara, 5, Revolutiei, 331128, Hunedoara, ROMANIA http://annals.fih.upt.ro