<sup>1.</sup>Oana MÎRZAN, <sup>1.</sup>Margareta NAIE, <sup>1.</sup>Alexandra LEONTE, <sup>2.</sup>Mihai STAVARACHE, <sup>2.</sup>Elena STAVARACHE, <sup>3.</sup>Adriana MUSCALU, <sup>3.</sup>Cătălina TUDORA, <sup>3.</sup>Nicolae–Valentin VLĂDUȚ

# **RESEARCH ON THE INFLUENCE OF SOME TECHNOLOGICAL SEQUENCES ON THE PRODUCTIVITY OF THE LOPHANTUS SPECIES** (*LOPHANTUS ANISATUS*) IN THE PEDO–CLIMATIC CONDITIONS OF CENTRAL MOLDOVA

<sup>1)</sup> Agricultural Research – Development Station Secuieni, Principală Street, No. 377, Code 617415, Neamt County / Romania;

<sup>2)</sup> The Research–Development Station for Meadows Vaslui, Ștefan cel Mare Street, No. 256, Code 730006, Vaslui County / Romania;

<sup>3)</sup> National Institute of Research – Development for Machines and Installations Designed to Agriculture and Food Industry – INMA, Bucharest / Romania

**Abstract:** The present paper presents data on the influence of technological sequences at Lophantus anisatus species cultivated under the conditions of A.R.D.S. Secuieni in the period 2019–2020. The average production of fresh herb was between 15229 kg/ha in the second epoch and 14318 kg/ha in the fourth epoch of sowing. The average seed production per hectare ranged between 144 kg/ha for the control variant and 223 kg/ha for the variant sown at 70 cm between rows and 15 cm between plants/row. A.R.D.S. (Agricultural Research and Development Station) Secuieni is known for the introduction into culture in order to develop the cultivation technologies of different species of medicinal plants, thus becoming a necessity nowadays. **Keywords:** lophantus, seed, dry herb, fresh herb

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the world is known under several names (*Agastache Foeniculum*, *Lophantus Agastache*) or *lophant popular* (*Luchian et al., 2020; Popescu C., 2017; Vînătoru et al., 2015*). *Lophanthus anisatus* Benth is a herbaceous perennial of the Lamiaceae family (*lvanov et al., 2019*), a valuable medicinal edible and technical crop, native to Asia (*Vînătoru et al., 2019*).

The plant is drought-resistant, does not like waterlogging, but needs a sufficient amount of water during the first year of cultivation. The chemical composition of *Lophantus anisatus* (alkaloids, caffeic acid, phenolic compounds, anethole, estragole, minerals and vitamins) gives it antibacterial (*Zielinska and Matkowski, 2014*), antifungal (*Hashemi et al., 2017*); *Zielinska and Matkowski, 2014*), antifungal (*Hashemi et al., 2017*); *Zielinska and Matkowski, 2014*).

2017; Zielinska and Matkowski, 2014), antiviral, anti-inflammatory

(*Costache and Vînătoru, 2017; Duda et al., 2013*) and antipyretic properties (Shanaida et al., 2020), being used in cardiovascular, neurological, digestive disorders (*Duda et al., 2013; Costache and Vînătoru, 2017*). This species can be grown in parks and planters as a decorative plant, highlighted by its beautifully colored inflorescences in purple blue, covering a long period of flowering, from June until the arrival of frost (*Korablyova O. A., 2012; Nazarenko L. G., 2008*). In many world cuisines *Lophantus anisatus* Benth. – is an irreplaceable herb.

Fresh and dried young shoots are used for baked products, as a component of spicy compositions and condiments for various dishes, as a flavoring for vodka, added to compotes, jelly, mousses, and puddings. The spice is added to stewed, baked dishes and fried fish, meat and salads (*Kormosh et al, 2020*). The purpose of this work was to introduce this species into the culture in order to develop the cultivation technology.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The researches were carried between 2019 and 2020 at A.R.D.S. Secuieni on a typical cambic soil type. Characterized as being well supplied with mobile phosphorus (39 ppm –  $P_2O_5$ ), moderately supplied in nitrogen with the soil nitrogen index of 2.1, well supplied in mobile potassium (161 ppm –  $K_2O$ ), slightly acidic, with the pH (in aqueous suspension) of 6.29 and a humus content of 2.3%.

In the conditions of A.R.D.S. Seculeni we experimented four sowing epochs:  $V_1$  (control) – sown in the first decade of April;  $V_2$  – sown in the second decade of April;  $V_3$  – sown in the third decade of April;  $V_4$  – sown in the first decade of May. At *Lophantus anisatus* species, the aim was to establish a technological



Figure 1. Lophanthus anisatus

link through the establishment of the optimal nutritional space in a bifactorial experience according to the subdivided parcel method in three repetitions.

Experienced factors are: A – the distance between rows with graduations:  $a_1 - 25$  cm,  $a_2 - 50$  cm and  $a_3 - 70$  cm and B – the distance between plants per row with graduations:  $b_1 - 15$  cm,  $b_2 - 25$  cm and  $b_3 - 35$  cm.

The soil work and the preparation of the germination bed consisted in releasing the soil from the plant debris in the pre-planting stubble-turning and plowing at a depth of 30 cm. In spring preparation of the germination bed was made with a harrow disc and the sowing was done manually at a depth of 1 cm.

The year 2019 was characterized as being warm in terms of temperatures and dry in terms of the annual amount of precipitation, which was unevenly distributed during the vegetation period of the plants (table 1 and 2).

| Average temperature |      | Months |      |      |      |      |        |  |  |
|---------------------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|--------|--|--|
| ٥                   | Apr  | May    | Jun  | Jul  | Aug  | Sept | period |  |  |
| 2019                | 9.7  | 15.3   | 21.3 | 20.1 | 21.2 | 16.2 | 17.3   |  |  |
| 2020                | 10.0 | 13.9   | 20.0 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 18.0 | 17.5   |  |  |
| Multiannual average | 9.5  | 15.4   | 18.8 | 20.4 | 19.5 | 15.0 | 16.4   |  |  |

#### Table 1. Temperatures recorded at A.R.D.S. Secuieni meteorological station

The spring of 2020 was characterized as being dry from a thermal and pluviometric point of view. The month of June was warmer, recording a deviation of 2.5 °C from normal. From a pluviometric point of view, a significant deficit was recorded in April, of 46 mm, thus complicating the work of preparing the seed bed (table 1 and 2).

| Table 2. Rainfall recorded at A.R.D.S. Secuieni meteorological stat | ion |
|---|-----|
|---|-----|

| Rainfall            |      |      | Sum for the vegetation period |      |      |      |       |
|---------------------|------|------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|
| (mm)                | Apr  | May  | Jun                           | Jul  | Aug  | Sept |       |
| 2019                | 38.0 | 95.0 | 55.8                          | 46.6 | 20.4 | 64.8 | 320.6 |
| 2020                | 1.2  | 69.6 | 72.6                          | 39.0 | 51.2 | 60.4 | 294.0 |
| Multiannual average | 46.9 | 65.7 | 85.0                          | 82.3 | 60.2 | 45.7 | 385.8 |

# 3. RESULTS

Following the determinations performed on the *Lophantus anisatus* (lophantus) species, it was found that the average production of fresh herb was between 15229 kg/ha in the second epoch and 14318 kg/ha in the fourth epoch. Compared to the control a statistically significant positive increase in production was obtained for the variant sown in the second epoch (291 kg/ha) (table 3). Table 3 The berb production obtained from lophantus in the period 2019–2020

|         | Ave   | erage fresh herb                           | production (kg/l                           | ha)   | Ave   | Datio  |                  |       |              |
|---------|-------|--|--|-------|---|--------|------------------|-------|--------------|
| Variant | Kg/ha | %  | Diff.<br>(Kg/ha)                           | Sign. | Kg/ha   | %      | Diff.<br>(Kg/ha) | Sign. | (fresh/ dry) |
| V1      | 14938 | 100  | Ct.  |       | 7286  | 100    | Ct.              |       | 2.03:1       |
| V2      | 15229 | 101.95                                     | 291  | *     | 8047  | 110.44 | 761              | ***   | 1.89:1       |
| V3      | 14706 | 98.44                                      | -233                                       |       | 7321  | 100.48 | 35               |       | 2.01:1       |
| V4      | 14318 | 95.85                                      | -620                                       | 000   | 6911  | 94.85  | -375             | 00    | 2.06:1       |
|         |       | LSD 5% — 25<br>LSD 1% — 38<br>LSD 0.1% — 6 | 53.86 kg/ha<br>84.42 kg/ha<br>517.56 kg/ha |       | LSD 5% — 229.82 kg/ha<br>LSD 1% — 348.01 kg/ha<br>LSD 0.1% — 559.07 kg/ha |        |                  |       |              |

Table 4. The seed production obtained from lofantus in the period 2019–2020

| Variant | Average seed production (kg/ha)                                    |        |               |       |  |  |  |  |
|---------|--|--------|---------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
|         | Kg/ha  | %      | Diff. (kg/ha) | Sign. |  |  |  |  |
| V1      | 184  | 100    | Ct.           |       |  |  |  |  |
| V2      | 219  | 119.02 | 35            | ***   |  |  |  |  |
| V3      | 173  | 94.20  | -11           |       |  |  |  |  |
| V4      | 153  | 82.88  | -32           | 000   |  |  |  |  |
|         | LSD 5% — 13.05 kg/ha; LSD 1% — 19.77 kg/ha; LSD 0.1% — 31.76 kg/ha |        |               |       |  |  |  |  |

The average production of dry herb in the second epoch was 8047 kg/ha, the difference being positive, very significant compared to that obtained in the first epoch (control) of 7286 kg/ha (table 3). The ratio of fresh herb production to dry herb production was between 2.06 in the fourth epoch of sowing and 1.89 in the second epoch of sowing (table 3).

Compared to the control variant in which the production was 184 kg/ha, in the second epoch was a production increase of 35 kg/ha interpreted as positive very significant (table 4).

The interaction of the studied factors influenced the average fresh herb production at lophantus. Compared to the control variant  $a_1xb_1$  (14643 kg/ha), production increases were obtained in most experimental variants between 170 – 602 kg/ha, being statistically assured and interpreted as positives distinct and very significant (table 5).

Also the average dry herb production was influenced by the interaction of the studied factors (distance between rows and distance between plants per row). Compared to the control variant  $a_1xb_1$  (7229 kg/ha), production increases between 170 – 407 kg/ha were achieved significant, distinctly significant and very significant (table 6).

Table 5. The influence of the interaction between the distance between rows and the distance between plants per row on the average fresh herb production at lophantus in the period 2019–2020

| Distance between rows<br>(A)                                      | Distance between plants /<br>row (B) | Average fresh herb production (kg/ha) | %      | Diff. | Sign. |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--|
|   | b1—15 cm                             | 14673                                 | 100    | Mt.   |       |  |
| a1—25 cm  | b2—25 cm                             | 14742                                 | 100.47 | 69    |       |  |
|   | b3—35 cm                             | 14843                                 | 101.16 | 170   | **    |  |
| a2—50 cm  | b1—15 cm                             | 14895                                 | 101.51 | 222   | **    |  |
|   | b2—25 cm                             | 14933                                 | 101.77 | 260   | **    |  |
|   | b3—35 cm                             | 15175                                 | 103.42 | 502   | ***   |  |
|   | b1—15 cm                             | 15275                                 | 104.10 | 602   | ***   |  |
| a3—70 cm  | b2—25 cm                             | 15225                                 | 103.76 | 552   | ***   |  |
|   | b3—35 cm                             | 15033                                 | 102.46 | 360   | ***   |  |
| LSD5% — 99.44 kg/ha; LDS1% — 164.35 kg/ha; LSD 0.1% — 304.5 kg/ha |                                      |                                       |        |       |       |  |

The average seed production per hectare ranged between 144 kg/ha for the control variant and 223 kg/ha for the variant sown at 70 cm between rows and 15 cm between plants/row.

Statistically assured and interpreted significant and distinctly significant production increases were obtained at a distance of 50 cm and 70 cm between rows at all three distances between plants per row (table 7).

Table 6. The influence of the interaction between the distance between rows and the distance between plants per row on the average dry herb production at lophantus in the period 2019–2020

| Distance between rows<br>(A)                                     | Distance between plants /<br>row (B) | Average dry herb<br>production (kg/ha) | %      | Diff. | Sign. |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
|  | b1—15 cm                             | 7229                                   | 100    | Mt.   |       |  |  |  |
| a1—25 cm   | b2—25 cm                             | 7357                                   | 101.78 | 128   |       |  |  |  |
|  | b3—35 cm                             | 7399                                   | 102.35 | 170   | *     |  |  |  |
| a2—50 cm   | b1—15 cm                             | 7350                                   | 101.67 | 121   |       |  |  |  |
|  | b2—25 cm                             | 7489                                   | 103.60 | 260   | **    |  |  |  |
|  | b3—35 cm                             | 7385                                   | 102.16 | 156   |       |  |  |  |
| a3—70 cm   | b1—15 cm                             | 7401                                   | 102.37 | 172   | *     |  |  |  |
|  | b2—25 cm                             | 7636                                   | 105.63 | 407   | ***   |  |  |  |
|  | b3—35 cm                             | 7006                                   | 96.91  | -223  | 0     |  |  |  |
| LSD5% — 163.2 kg/ha; LSD1% — 234.6 kg/ha; LSD 0.1% — 400.9 kg/ha |                                      |  |        |       |       |  |  |  |

Table 7. The influence of the interaction between the distance between rows and the distance between plants per row

on the average seed production at lophantus in the period 2019–2020

| Distance between rows (A) | Distance between plants / row<br>(B) | Average seed production<br>(kg/ha) | %           | Diff. | Sign. |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|
|                           | b1—15 cm                             | 144                                | 100         | Mt.   |       |
| a1—25 cm                  | b2—25 cm                             | 158                                | 109,72      | 14,00 |       |
|                           | b3—35 cm                             | 169                                | 117,36      | 25,00 |       |
| a2—50 cm                  | b1—15 cm                             | 181                                | 125,92      | 37,33 | *     |
|                           | b2—25 cm                             | 190                                | 132,17      | 46,33 | **    |
|                           | b3—35 cm                             | 208                                | 144,67      | 64,33 | **    |
| a3—70 cm                  | b1—15 cm                             | 194                                | 134,49      | 49,67 | **    |
|                           | b2—25 cm                             | 223                                | 154,62      | 78,67 | **    |
|                           | b3—35 cm                             | 190                                | 131,94      | 46,00 | **    |
|                           | LSD5% – 26.4 kg/ha:                  | LSD1% - 41.9 kg/ha: LSD 0.1%       | — 79.6 ka/h | а     |       |

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

*Lophantus anisatus* is an aromatic plant considered among the first four melliferous plants in the world. From *Lophanthus anisatus*, is used all the aerial part with multiple uses, the plant not having especial soil demands and presenting an increase resistance to illnesses and pests.

*Lophanthus anisatus* is used in natural medicine having numerous medicinal properties, such as: prevents and treats gastritis, gall-bladder affection, hepathitis, cerebral vascular accidents, increases body immunity, balances the metabolic processes. The highest seed production (219 kg/ha) was obtained in the second sowing season (second decade of April).

The interaction of the studied factors influenced the production of dry herb obtaining the highest production at the variant sown at 70 cm between rows and at 25 cm between plants per row (7636 kg/ha).

#### Acknowledgement

The results were obtained as a result of the project ADER 6.2.1. — "Establishment and continuous diversification of the national collection of medicinal and aromatic plants, acclimatization and introduction into culture of new species and improvement of cultivation technologies in the mountain area."

#### References

- [1] Costache, N., Vînătoru, C. (2017). Lophantus anisatus, Editura ALPHA MDN, 73–74.
- [2] Duda, M.M., Vârban D.I., Muntean S., Moldovan C., Olar, M. (2013). Hop and medicinal plants, No 1–2, 51–53.
- [3] Hashemi, M., Ehsani, A., Hassani, A., Afshari, A., Aminzare, M., & Sahranavard, T., Azimzadeh, Z. (2017). Phytochemical, antibacterial, antifungal and antioxidant properties of Agastache foeniculum essential oil. JCHR, 7(2), 95–104.
- [4] Ivanov, I.G., Radka, Z., Vrancheva, R.Z., Petkova, N.T., Tumbarski, Y., Dincheva, I.N., Badjakov, I.K. (2019). Phytochemical compounds of anise hyssop (Agastache foeniculum) and antibacterial, antioxidant, and acetylcholinesterase inhibitory properties of its essential oil, Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science, Vol. 9(02), 072–078.
- [5] Korablyova, O. A. (2012). Useful plants in Ukraine: from introduction to use: monograph. K.: Phytosociocenter.
- [6] Kormosh, S., Vashchenko V., Mytenko Inna (2020). Perspectives Culture of the Lophanthus anisatus Benth. and Peculiarities of Its Ontogenesis in the Conditions of the Lowland Zone of Transcarpathian. Ecology and Evolutionary Biology. Vol. 5, No. 2.
- [7] Luchian, V., Săvulescu E., Toma M., Costache N., Teodosiu G., Popa, V. (2020). Some aspects of the anatomical features of the medicinal plant Agastache foeniculum (Pursh) Kuntze (Lophanthus anisatus (Nutt.) Benth.), Scientific Papers. Series B, Horticulture. Vol. LXIV, No. 1
- [8] Nazarenko, L.G. (2008). Etheronos of the south of Ukraine. Simferopol: Tavriya.
- [9] Popescu C., Popescu, C., Manea, St., Calin, O., Pruteanu, A., Dune, A., Popescu, B. (2017). Analysis of polyphenols, flavonoids and mineral content from dried herb of Lophanthus anisatus. INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM. ISB–INMA–TEH.
- [10] Shanaida, M., Adamiv, S., Yaremchuk, O., Ivanusa, I. (2020). Pharmacological study of the polyphenolcontaining phytosubstance obtained from the anise hyssop herb, PharmacologyOnline, vol. 2, 105–112.
- [11] Vînătoru, C., Zamfir, B., Bratu, C., Peticila, A. (2015). Lophanthus Anisatus. a multi purpose plant, acclimatized and improved at VRDS Buzau. Scientific Papers. Series B. Horticulture. Vol. LIX. pp. 277–280.
- [12] Vînătoru, C., Muşat, B., Bratu, C. (2019). Thesis on Special Vegetable Growing. Publishing House ALPHA MDN, Buzău, 673.
- [13] Zielinska, S., Matkowski, A. (2014). Phytochemistry and bioactivity of aromatic and medicinal plants from the genus Agastache (Lamiaceae). Phytochemistry Rev., 13, 391–416.

**Note**: This paper was presented at ISB–INMA TEH' 2023 – International Symposium on Technologies and Technical Systems in Agriculture, Food Industry and Environment, organized by University "POLITEHNICA" of Bucuresti, Faculty of Biotechnical Systems Engineering, National Institute for Research– Development of Machines and Installations designed for Agriculture and Food Industry (INMA Bucuresti), National Research & Development Institute for Food Bioresources (IBA Bucuresti), University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucuresti (UASVMB), Research–Development Institute for Plant Protection – (ICDPP Bucuresti), Research and Development Institute for Processing and Marketing of the Horticultural Products (HORTING), Hydraulics and Pneumatics Research Institute (INOE 2000 IHP) and Romanian Agricultural Mechanical Engineers Society (SIMAR), in Bucuresti, ROMANIA, in 5–6 October, 2023.



ISSN 1584 – 2665 (printed version); ISSN 2601 – 2332 (online); ISSN-L 1584 – 2665 copyright © University POLITEHNICA Timisoara, Faculty of Engineering Hunedoara, 5, Revolutiei, 331128, Hunedoara, ROMANIA http://annals.fih.upt.ro